

Multiple Roles of Dissolved Organic Matter in Advanced Oxidation Processes

Xin Yang,* Fernando L. Rosario-Ortiz, Yu Lei, Yanheng Pan, Xin Lei, and Paul Westerhoff

Cite This: Environ. Sci. Technol. 2022, 56, 11111-11131



ACCESS	III Metrics & More	Article Recommendations	s Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) can degrade a wide range of trace organic contaminants (TrOCs) to improve the quality of potable water or discharged wastewater effluents. Their effectiveness is impacted, however, by the dissolved organic matter (DOM) that is ubiquitous in all water sources. During the application of an AOP, DOM can scavenge radicals and/or block light penetration, therefore impacting their effectiveness toward contaminant transformation. The multiple ways in which different types or sources of DOM can impact oxidative water purification processes are critically reviewed. DOM can inhibit the degradation of TrOCs, but it can also enhance the formation and reactivity of useful radicals for contaminants elimination and alter the transformation pathways of contaminants. An indepth analysis highlights the inhibitory effect of DOM on the degradation efficiency of TrOCs based on DOM's structure and optical properties and its reactivity toward oxidants as well as the synergistic contribution of DOM to the transformation of TrOCs from the analysis of DOM's redox properties and DOM's transient intermediates. AOPs can alter DOM structure properties as well as and influence types, mechanisms, and extent of oxidation byproducts



formation. Research needs are proposed to advance practical understanding of how DOM can be exploited to improve oxidative water purification.

KEYWORDS: complex water matrices, radicals, trace organic contaminants, advanced oxidation, water and wastewater treatment

1. INTRODUCTION

Downloaded via SUN YAT SEN (ZHONGSHAN) UNIV on August 24, 2022 at 12:58:36 (UTC). See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) include a range of technologies applied in water and wastewater treatment to degrade a wide range of trace organic contaminants (TrOCs), including pharmaceuticals, personal care products, endocrinedisrupting compounds, pesticides and algal toxins, and other pathogens.¹⁻⁹ AOPs produce radical species (RS) that react to degrade TrOCs. AOPs that produce hydroxyl radicals (*OH) or sulfate radicals (SO4 •-) are widely studied because of those radicals' high redox potentials (1.90-2.70 V and 2.60-3.10 V, respectively).^{3,10-13} The superoxide ion $(O_2^{\bullet-})$ and singlet oxygen $({}^{1}O_{2})$ are also often involved but are not as frequently studied.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ These reactive oxygen species are generated in situ by activating precursors such as hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , peroxymonosulfate (PMS) or peroxydisulfate (PDS) with ultraviolet (UV) or solar light, ozone, metal ions, or carbon- or metal-derived materials, perhaps in nanoscale particles.^{1,3,18-26} Additionally, high-energy ionizing radiation (HEIR) can be used, which uses γ -rays or electron beams to simultaneously generate reactive H atoms, hydrated electrons, and [•]OH.²⁷ Other AOPs relying instead on reactive chlorine atoms (Cl[•], $E^0(^{\bullet}Cl/Cl^-) = 2.55$ V) have also received attention in recent years.^{28–31} Specifically, chloramines used to control membrane biofouling pass through the microfiltration and reverse osmosis membranes in wastewater reuse treatment and carry over to the downstream AOP to form a

mixed UV/H_2O_2 and UV/chloramine AOP.^{8,19,32-36} The collective universe of reactive species produced in different AOPs is summarized in Table S1. Research indicates synergistic benefits in TrOC degradation when combinations of radical species are employed.

Dissolved organic matter (DOM) and inorganic ions (e.g., chloride, bromide, and bicarbonate ions) present in all natural water sources influence the type, formation rate, and reactivity toward TrOCs by RS produced during AOPs, so the composition of the feed water influences process effectiveness in removing TrOCs. Secondary radicals, including carbonate radicals $(CO_3^{\bullet-})$, chlorine radicals $(Cl^{\bullet}/Cl_2^{\bullet-})$, and bromine radicals (Br•/Br2•-), can be produced through reactions between ${}^{\bullet}OH$ or $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ and dissolved salts. The secondary radicals may themselves degrade some TrOCs, acting synergistically with the process's primary RS, or they can act antagonistically by scavenging $^{\bullet}OH$ or $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ from the solution before the primary RS reacts with TrOCs. The key to understanding the relative significance of primary and

Received: February 10, 2022 **Revised:** June 16, 2022 Accepted: June 20, 2022 Published: July 7, 2022







Figure 1. Representative structure and active moieties of DOM involving various oxidative reactions in AOPs.⁵⁷ The ovals represent key moieties: red for carboxylic groups, blue for phenolic groups, green for nitrogen heterocycles. Reproduced with permission from ref 57. Copyright 1996 Elsevier.

secondary RS involves having quantitative information about their aqueous concentrations and rate constants. These have been the subject of research over several decades.^{38–41} TrOCs bearing strong electron-donating substituents (e.g., -OH, $-NH_2$, and $-OCH_3$) generally react rapidly with radical oxidants, as do amines, organic sulfur, and olefinic functional groups.^{12,13} However, compared to ample quantitative data on the role of inorganic dissolved salts in AOPs, there is less of a well-integrated and consistent framework for describing the roles of DOM.

DOM is ubiquitous in surface or ground water at concentrations of 1–10 mg_C L⁻¹, and in most treated wastewater at 5–30 mg_C L^{-1,42,43} DOM is a complicated and heterogeneous mixture of macromolecules including phenols, quinones, olefins, amines, sulfides, and heterocyclic moieties (Figure 1). The structure of DOM is postulated to be dominated by aggregates form via hydrogen and/or metal interactions.^{44–48} The composition of DOM varies widely depending on its origin and the biogeochemical processes it has undergone.^{49–51} For example, waters dominated by allochthonous DOM inputs are more hydrophobic, richer in aromatic content, and have relatively high specific UV- and visible-light absorption.^{44,52} Effluent organic matter (EfOM) discharged from wastewater processing is dominated by more hydrophilic bacterial DOM sources and enriched in organic nitrogen.^{45,53–55} DOM with different hydrophilic or hydrophobic fractions can show different reactivities toward oxidants.^{51,56}

During ozonation, DOM can initiate or promote ozone decomposition and form reactive byproducts that lead to high [•]OH yields for a very short period.^{58,59} Because DOM also

scavenges •OH, the net effect is generally lower •OH exposure (•OH concentration multiplied by the lifetime of the oxidant) at higher ozone dosages.^{60–64} Waters where AOPs are applied can have DOM concentrations orders of magnitude higher than those of the TrOCs, so the DOM can outcompete the TrOCs for •OH.^{11,29,65} Consequently, the presence of DOM is generally viewed as decreasing the effectiveness of AOPs toward TrOC degradation. For example, DOM can quench RS (e.g., •OH and hydrated electrons) formed in the high-energy ionizing radiation-based AOPs, thus inhibiting the removal efficiency of contaminants.⁶⁶

Treatment processes such as adsorption, membrane separation, and pre-oxidation are often considered necessary to remove DOM or decrease DOM reactivity prior to the AOP. Less well acknowledged is how DOM can also promote TrOC degradation through forming reactive intermediates such as triplet excited states (³DOM*), ¹O₂, phenoxyl radicals, and peroxyl radicals.^{67–73} The contribution of the transient species within DOM, especially ³DOM*, to the degradation of TrOCs, is most widely studied not in AOPs but in the context of pollutant degradation in surface waters under solar irradiation.^{74–76} However, the accurate prediction of TrOC degradation in natural waters and in AOPs remains far from satisfactory.^{11,65,77–79}

Beyond affecting the degradation kinetics of TrOCs, radical reactions involving DOM may form toxic byproducts (e.g., chlorinated byproducts with $Cl^{\bullet}/Cl_2^{\bullet-}$, dicarbonyls with $^{\bullet}OH$) or change the extent or mechanisms of byproduct formation (e.g., bromate and chlorate in UV/PDS AOPs).^{56,80-83} DOM is a key precursor of disinfection byproducts when using chlorine, chloramines or ozone as a disinfectant.^{1,84-86}

Likewise, oxygenated and halogenated by products from UV/ chlor(am)ine, UV/ozone and ozone/ $\rm H_2O_2$ AOPs are inevitable. $^{19,33,62,87-90}$

This critical review aims at providing a comprehensive understanding of the multiple roles of DOM in the application of AOPs. We conducted in-depth analysis of the inhibitory effect of DOM on the degradation efficiency of TrOCs based on DOM's structure and optical properties and its reactivity toward oxidants (Section 2), followed by the synergistic contribution of DOM on the transformation of TrOCs from the analysis of DOM's redox properties and DOM's transient intermediates (Section 3). Furthermore, DOM's changes in structure and property during various AOPs and related oxidation byproducts formation are briefly discussed (Section 4). Finally, the challenges and opportunities for AOPs treatment in real applications are defined (Section 5).

2. ANTAGONISTIC INFLUENCE OF DOM ON TROC REMOVAL IN AOPS

2.1. DOM Inhibits the Generation of Reactive Species. It is well-known that the presence of DOM can reduce the steady-state concentration of reactive species ([RS]_{ss}). It can inhibit their generation rates (r_{RS}^{f}) and increase their consumption rates (k_{RS}^{d}) (eq 1 and Figure 2).

$$[RS]_{SS} = \frac{r_{RS}^J}{\sum k_i S_i} \tag{1}$$



Figure 2. Reaction types and pathways involved in DOM's inhibition of TrOC transformation in AOPs. Red area: DOM inhibits the generation of reactive species via light attenuation (I), oxidant consumption (II), and surface fouling (III). Blue area: DOM quenches reactive species (IV). Green area: DOM interferes with TrOCs degradation kinetics via complexation and binding with TrOC (V) and reverse reactions in which antioxidants in DOM reduce the intermediate radicals back to the parent compounds (VI).

where r_{RS}^{t} represents the generation rates of RS in a specific AOP. $\sum k_i S_i$ represents the sum of reactions between RS and water matrix components (e.g., inorganic anions, DOM, and the activating chemicals for RS generation).

2.1.1. DOM Absorbs UV/Solar Light. Chromophores in DOM absorb light across the ultraviolet and visible spectra. There is an exponential decrease in the molar absorbance coefficient at longer wavelengths (Figure 3a).^{91–95} Decreased transmittance of light limits direct photolysis of some TrOCs and influences the activation of some AOP chemicals (e.g., H₂O₂, PMS, PDS). That reduces radicals' generation and their reactions with TrOCs.^{96,97} Consider low pressure UV-light-driven AOPs as an example. The specific UV absorbance (SUVA₂₅₄) is calculated by dividing measured absorbance at 254 nm (UV₂₅₄) by DOM concentrations (mg_C L⁻¹). SUVA₂₅₄ serves as an indicator for aromaticity of DOM, and the common values range from ~1 to ~7 L m⁻¹ mg_C⁻¹, from less



Figure 3. (a) UV–vis absorbance spectra of the activating chemicals and two DOM isolates with low pressure UV (LPUV), medium pressure UV (MPUV), and sunlight (H_2O_2 , PMS, and PDS at a concentration of 1 mM, O_3 , HOCl, NH₂Cl, and OCl⁻ at 0.1 mM, [SRNOM] and [SRFA] at 5 mg_C L⁻¹). (b) Screening fractions (*F*) with different activating chemicals under LPUV irradiation (eq 2). The SUVA and DOC concentrations are averaged from the data of 26 source water samples and 22 wastewater treatment plant effluents (Table S2). IQR: interquartile range.

to more aromatic in nature.44,54,56 Besides DOM, inorganic compounds in water also absorb light at 254 nm. For example, the molar absorptivity value at 254 nm (ε_{254}) for H₂O₂ is 18.6 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, whereas those of HOCl and OCl⁻ are 62 and 60 M^{-1} cm⁻¹, respectively. The molar absorptivity at 254 nm for $\rm NH_2Cl$ is 371 $\rm M^{-1}~\rm cm^{-1}$, whereas for PMS and PDS the values are 14 and 21.6 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, respectively. O₃ exhibits the highest ε_{254} of 3000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Figure 3a).^{8,98-101} In environmentally relevant conditions (e.g., pH 6-9), there is little variation in the speciation and corresponding absorbance properties of H_2O_2 (pK_a = 11.8), PMS (pK_a = 9.3), and PDS (pK_a = -3.5). Though HOCl has a p K_a value of 7.5, the ε_{254} value of HOCl is very close to that of OCl-, resulting in negligible absorbance changes in aqueous chlorine species between pH 6 and 9. The attenuation of light absorption by activating chemicals (AC) because of DOM competition for photons (F) can be calculated as

$$F(\%) = \frac{\text{SUVA}_{254} \times [\text{DOM}]}{\text{SUVA}_{254} \times [\text{DOM}] + \varepsilon_{254}[\text{AC}]} \times 100\%$$
(2)

where the brackets indicate chemical concentrations.

Data for 26 source water samples from different regions including the United States, Europe, Australia, and China has been collected, and the results are summarized in Table S2,



Figure 4. (a) Second-order reaction rate constants of reactive species with DOM. (b) Correlation of the second-order reaction rate constants with the DOM's SUVA₂₅₄. (c) Radical scavenging fraction by DOM in real waters. The data are the average of 20 surface water samples and 27 wastewater effluent samples. (d) Steady-state concentrations of $^{\circ}OH$, $CO_{3}^{\bullet-}$, $Cl_{2}^{\bullet-}$, $Br_{2}^{\bullet-}$, and $SO_{4}^{\bullet-}$ in typical AOPs.^{8,11,41,65,133,137,138}

which was used to make quantitative calculation of the impacts of DOM on AOP effectiveness. The SUVA₂₅₄ values varied from 0.9 to 3.8 L m⁻¹ mgC⁻¹ with DOM concentrations ranging from 0.9 to 10.7 mgC L⁻¹. With a typical dose of activating chemicals (e.g., 1 mM H₂O₂, PMS or PDS, 0.1 mM HOCl, O₃ or NH₂Cl), DOM screening can be expected to reduce the absorption between 3.2 and 98% (Figure 3b). In comparison, the light screening fraction (*F*) by DOM in typical wastewater effluents (SUVA₂₅₄ = 1.6–5.5 L m⁻¹ mgC⁻¹ and [DOC] = 3.8–10.6 mgC⁻¹ based on data from 22 wastewater treatment plants) ranged between 21 and 97% (Figure 3b). At those doses, DOM attenuates HOCl's absorption of 254 nm UV light the most, followed by H₂O₂/PDS/PMS/NH₂Cl and then O₃. Therefore, DOM generally inhibits UV-based AOPs.

Some AOPs use visible light (>400 nm) to minimize DOM's screening effects. At environmentally relevant DOM concentrations, less than 1% of the incident light at 400–470 nm is screened out in chlorine- $C_3N_{4\nu}^{20,102}$ MCNT-TiO₂,¹⁰³ or BiFe-ZnO¹⁰⁴ AOPs (Figure S1). Future research might usefully emphasize artificial rather than solar light to decrease the size requirements and diurnal variation limitations of natural sunlight-driven AOPs.

2.1.2. DOM Consumes Activating Chemicals Used in AOPs. H_2O_2 , PMS, and PDS are rather stable in the presence of typical DOM concentrations.^{105–109} However, DOM is rapidly transformed in the presence of stronger oxidants such as ozone, free chlorine, or chloramines.^{110,111} Ozone selectively reacts with olefins, amines, and activated aromatic moieties within DOM.⁶³ When bromide is present, free bromine is formed. Free chlorine and bromine are particularly reactive toward phenolic groups, amines, and reduce sulfur moieties in DOM,^{112,113} but free bromine reacts about ten times faster with DOM than free chlorine.¹¹¹ Monochoramine (NH₂Cl) and dichloramine (NHCl₂) formed from the reaction of free chlorine with ammonia have smaller reaction rate constants

with DOM.^{114,115} The decomposition of O₃, free chlorine, and free bromine in the presence of DOM follows a two-stage kinetics with a rapid initial stage (lasting less than about 20 s) and a slower consumption stage.^{64,111,116} Notably, relatively high yields of •OH are formed in the rapid initial stage of ozone reactions with DOM. That helps to reduce ozoneresistant TrOCs.^{64,116} Depending on the types and concentrations of DOM, the decomposition rates of these chemical oxidants vary and the generation of radicals is inhibited in the presence of DOM, except in O₃-based processes.

2.1.3. DOM Blocks the Active Sites of Solid-Phase Catalysts. AOPs based on heterogenous catalysis generate surface-bound or solution-released RS that degrade TrOCs. In some cases the TrOCs are adsorbed on the catalyst's surface (Figure 2).^{22,117–121} DOM adsorbed on the catalyst's surface hinders performance to degrade TrOCs that occur at much lower concentrations (from ng/L to μ g/L) compared with DOM concentrations (mg/L).^{122–126} Adsorbed DOM may also block pores reducing the catalyst's available intraparticle surface area.¹⁰³ This impairment has been demonstrated in Fenton-like systems.¹²⁴ DOM adsorption on catalyst surfaces has been shown to reduce persulfate activation by the catalyst, inhibiting the generation of reactive species.^{127–129} To our knowledge there are no clear examples of DOM improving TrOC removal in a heterogeneous catalysis-based AOP.

2.2. DOM Quenches Reactive Species. Reactions between radicals and DOM commonly follow second-order reaction kinetics with respect to both the concentrations of radicals and DOM (eq 3), and are therefore similar to bimolecular reactions between radicals and organic/inorganic compounds: 12,13

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{RS}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k[\mathrm{RS}][\mathrm{DOM}] \tag{3}$$

The second-order rate constants for the reactions of reactive species with DOM were collected from literature, and the results are represented several ways within Figure 4. Figure 4a summarizes rate constant ranges between DOM and common RS. As the figure shows, $k_{\circ OH,DOM} \approx k_{Clo,DOM} (10^8 - 10^9 M_C^{-1})$ s^{-1}) > $k_{\text{Br},\text{DOM}}$ (10⁷-10⁸ M_C⁻¹ s⁻¹) > $k_{\text{SO}_4\text{--,DOM}}$ (10⁷-10⁸ $M_{C}^{-1} s^{-1}$ > $k_{Cl,\bullet-,DOM} (10^{6} - 10^{7} M_{C}^{-1} s^{-1})$ > $k_{Br,\bullet-,DOM} (10^{5} - 10^{7} M_{C}^{-1} s^{-1})$ 10^{6} M_C⁻¹ s⁻¹) $\approx k_{CO_{3}\bullet-,DOM}$ ($10^{5}-10^{6}$ M_C⁻¹ s^{-1}).^{44,51,55,56,130–135} For example, the second-order reaction rate constant for Suwannee River fulvic acid (SRFA) reacting with °OH is $(1.86 \pm 0.25) \times 10^8 M_{\rm C}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1} {\rm 1}^{36}$ With Cl[•] it is $(4.12 \pm 0.32) \times 10^8 M_{\rm C}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1} {\rm 5}^{6}$ with Br[•] $(3.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{8} {\rm 1}^{35}$ with SO₄^{•-} $(2.28 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{7} {\rm 1}^{32}$ with Cl₂^{•-} $(1.64 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{7} {\rm 5}^{56}$ with CO₃^{•-} $(1.74 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{6} {\rm 1}^{31}$ and with Br₂^{•-} $(9.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^5 M_{\rm C}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1} {\rm 1}^{35}$ The reaction kinetics between DOM and °OH have been the most extensively of ${\rm M}^{-1}$ is ${\rm M}^{-1}$ c. and 'OH have been the most extensively studied, with far fewer studies reporting reaction rate constants of DOM with Cl[•], Cl₂^{•-}, Br[•], Br₂^{•-}, SO₄^{•-}, or CO₃^{•-}. Tables S3 and S4 summarize what is known about the second-order rate constants of reactions between DOM and oxidants used in AOPs.

Noteworthy in Table S3 is that only two rate constant values are reported for DOM's reactions with ¹O₂ or O₂^{•-}. DOM reportedly quenches ¹O₂ with an overall reaction rate constant of $(0.41-1.6) \times 10^6 M_C^{-1} s^{-1}$. Phenols and aromatic amines are the dominant DOM constituents involved.^{139,140} A recent study using a flow injection analysis system determined an apparent rate constant for SRFA with $O_2^{\bullet-}$ of approximately $(1.8-2.2) \times 10^3 M_C^{-1} s^{-1.141} O_2^{\bullet-1}$ is a weak oxidant that can be reduced to H_2O_2 ($E^0(O_2^{\bullet-}/H_2O_2) = 0.91$ V vs. the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE)) or itself serve as a moderately strong reducing agent $(E^0(O_2^{\bullet-}/O_2) = -0.33 \text{ V vs. NHE}).^{142}$ O2^{•-} has relatively high reaction rate constants with cations and quinones,¹⁴³ but such compounds may mainly play a catalytic role during the formation of H_2O_2 from $O_2^{\bullet-.144}$ Overall, more studies focusing on the kinetics of the reactive species' reactions with DOM are needed to understand the sensitivity of different structures and the influence of the DOM's composition.

DOM from different sources shows distinct reactivity patterns. For example, the $k_{\bullet OH,DOM}$ and $k_{Cl\bullet,DOM}$ values for EfOM isolates are higher than those of natural organic matter (NOM) isolates; however, $k_{CO_3 \bullet -, DOM}$ values are lower for EfOM isolates.¹³¹ Humic acids (HAs) have higher $k_{\text{Cl}\bullet,\text{DOM}}$ values than more hydrophilic and less aromatic fulvic acids (FAs), but no significant difference in $k_{Cl_{\bullet}-DOM}$ is observed between HA and FA.⁵⁶ $k_{\text{SO}_4\bullet-,\text{DOM}}$, $k_{\text{Cl}_2\bullet-,\text{DOM}}$, and $k_{\text{CO}_3\bullet-,\text{DOM}}$ all correlate negatively with E2/E3 (the absorbance at 254 nm divided by the absorbance at 365 nm) (Figure S2). However, they and $k_{\text{Br}\bullet,\text{DOM}}$ and $k_{\text{Br}\bullet-,\text{DOM}}$ have a positive correlation with the DOM's phenolic content and aromaticity as expressed by its SUVA₂₅₄ (Figure 4b).^{56,131} $k_{Clo,DOM}$ and $k_{oH,DOM}$, however, are not similarly correlated. $k_{Cl,DOM}$ correlates negatively with the DOM's average molecular weight because of diffusion controlled reactions.⁵⁶ Different studies have reported contradictory trends with molecular weight.^{44,52,56} Both 'OH and Cl' are highly reactive toward organics with rate constants (e.g., $k_{\bullet OH,phenol} = 0.7 \sim 1.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_{Cl\bullet,phenol} = 1.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) often approaching the diffusion-controlled limits ($\sim 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, i.e., $\sim 10^9 \text{ M}_{\text{C}}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ based on the Smoluchowski equation).^{41,145} The $k_{\text{Clo,DOM}}$ and $k_{\bullet OHDOM}$ values often reach the diffusion-controlled limits $(\sim\!10^9~M_C^{-1}~s^{-1})$ as shown in Figure 4a, suggesting the reactions are more diffusion-controlled rather than activationcontrolled, thus the rates were more affected by the molecular size. ^{51,56,146} Whereas SO₄^{$\bullet-$}, Cl₂^{$\bullet-$}, Br^{$\bullet-$}, Br₂^{$\bullet-$}, and CO₃^{$\bullet-$} are more selective and reactive with the electron-donating groups in DOM.

As has been mentioned, inorganic ions such as HCO_3^- , CO_3^{2-} , CI^- , Br^- , etc. in the water matrix also scavenge radicals.¹⁴⁷ The second-order rate constants of those reactions are also summarized in Table S4. Analysis of the competitive kinetics can give some quantitative understanding of the relative importance of radical scavenging by inorganic ions versus scavenging by DOM. DOM's relative contribution to radical scavenging (f_{DOM}) can be estimated using eq 4.

$$f_{\rm DOM}(\%) = \frac{k_{\rm DOM} \times [\rm DOM]}{k_{\rm DOM} \times [\rm DOM] + k_{\rm HCO_3^-} \times [\rm HCO_3^-] + k_{\rm NO_2^-} \times [\rm NO_2^-] + k_{\rm Cl^-} \times [\rm Cl^-] + k_{\rm d}} \times 100\%$$
(4)

The term k_d is the first-order natural decay rate constants for these transients (e.g., ${}^{1}O_2$ is quickly relaxed to ground state triplet oxygen in water). The k_d values are 2.4 × 10⁵ s⁻¹ for ${}^{1}O_2$, 2.5 × 10⁵ s⁻¹ for Cl[•], 1300 s⁻¹ for Cl[•], 1.4 s⁻¹ for Br[•], and 660 s⁻¹ for SO₄^{•-}; they are not available for others. 148,149 It should also be noted that the reactions of Cl⁻ with [•]OH generate ClOH^{•-} as the intermediate (4.3 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹), which quickly reverses back to regenerate [•]OH (6.0 × 10⁹ s⁻¹). Thus, Cl⁻ does not significantly impact the [•]OH concentrations at the typical concentrations in water and some wastewater and is thus not included in calculating the f_{DOM} for [•]OH.

To illustrate the importance of commonly occurring compounds in water, 20 surface waters and 27 wastewater treatment effluents reported in the literature (Table S5) were evaluated, which have DOM concentrations ranging from 6.1 to 8.2 mg_C/L . These waters had concentrations of HCO₃⁻

from 2.23 to 2.74 mM, 1.96 to 6.31 μ M for nitrate, and 0.27 to 2.08 mM for Cl⁻. At the typical pH range of 6.5~8 in surface water and wastewater effluents, bicarbonate is the dominant species (i.e., H₂CO₃ and CO₃²⁻ are not considered as significant). Equation 4 was used to calculate the $f_{\rm DOM}$ values for different RS. As Figure 4c shows, DOM acts as the major sink for CO₃⁻⁻ ($f_{\rm DOM} \sim 100\%$) and °OH ($f_{\rm DOM}$ values range from 37 to 96% depending on the source and composition of the DOM). In contrast, $f_{\rm DOM}$ values decrease to 0.4–20% for SO₄⁻⁻, to 0.14–6% for Cl⁺, to 5–68% for Br⁺, to 0.2–12% for Br₂⁻⁻, and to 0.9–20% for Cl₂⁻⁻ because of the significant competition from HCO₃⁻⁻ and Cl⁻⁻ in real waters. ¹O₂ exhibits little DOM scavenging ($f_{\rm DOM} < 0.5\%$) because most of it could naturally relax to the ground state triplet oxygen in water. ¹⁵⁰ Although Cl₂⁺⁻ and CO₃⁻⁻ are less reactive with DOM than [•]OH and SO₄⁻⁻ (Figure 4a), their aqueous concentrations could be up to 4 orders of magnitude higher in some AOPs

(Figure 4d), resulting in comparable overall radical species exposures ($\int [RS]_{SS} dt$).^{11,151}

2.3. DOM Interferes with TrOC Degradation. In addition to affecting the formation and consumption of reactive species, DOM associates with TrOCs and/or alters the transformation pathways of TrOCs, thus inhibiting overall degradation.

2.3.1. DOM Associates with TrOCs. A few studies have found that DOM can enmesh TrOCs within its macromolecular structure in ways that reduce TrOCs' susceptibility to reactive species in solution. The current view is that DOM can be represented as a supra-molecular assembly held together by van der Waals interactions, and that TrOCs can interact with that structure via electrostatic interaction, ^{152,153} hydrogen bonding, ^{11,154} or hydrophobic interaction. ^{155,156} DOM components are amphiphilic, containing both nonpolar domains (e.g., aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons) and polar domains (e.g., oxygen-, nitrogen-, and sulfur-bearing moieties). That results in its three-dimensional structure and charge density depending on the solution's pH and ionic strength. 156,157 At a circumneutral pH, DOM is negatively charged because of deprotonation of its carboxylic and phenolic moieties.¹⁵³ Positive-charged TrOCs such as aminoglycoside antibiotics can therefore absorb into DOM via electrostatic interaction.¹⁴⁶ Phototransformation of aminoglycosides and histidine is reportedly enhanced through such absorption because of the much higher concentrations of RS (e.g., ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and ${}^{\bullet}OH$) in the DOM's microenvironment than in the bulk water.^{152,153} However, this has not been well documented in an AOP. The hydrophobic interaction between DOM and hydrophobic organic compounds (e.g., PAHs and polychlorinated biphenyl) is related to their hydrophobicity.^{158,159} Hydrogen bonds were reported to form between DOM and TrOCs.¹¹ For instance, the imidazole ring of nitroimidazole antibiotics forms hydrogen bonds with phenolics and quinones in DOM.^{160,161} That facilitates hydrogen-atom transfer in RS generation.¹⁶²

Enhanced degradation of pollutants in the presence of DOM has also been reported. For instance, DOM is reported to enhance the degradation of perfluoro-octanesulfonate (PFAS) in UV/iodide treatment. One reason is because confinement by an iodide-DOM-PFAS adduct constrains the generation of e_{aq}^{-} and the local decomposition of PFAS.^{163,164} Because of the complicated interactions among pollutant, DOM, and RS, the reaction kinetics and mechanisms in such confined volume reactions are not yet well understood, nor is the fate of TrOCs absorbed into DOM.

2.3.2. DOM Reduces Organic Radical Intermediates. Various functional groups in DOM can interfere with TrOC degradation in different ways. For example, antioxidant groups found in DOM, such as phenols, can interfere in the redox reactions of TrOCs in AOPs.^{110,165–168} Single electron transfer, H-abstraction, and radical addition are three well-known pathways for reactions involving radicals.^{3,12,13,41} During radicals' reactions with a TrOC (e.g., P), single electron transfer generates a radical cation (P^{•+}) and H-abstraction generates a neutral radical (P_(-H)•) (eq 5). P^{•+} can also deprotonate to P_(-H)• depending on the pH (eq 6).^{169,170} In the absence of DOM, P⁺⁺ and P_(-H)• will decompose to generate oxidized P_{ox} (eq 7). In the presence of DOM, however, P^{•+} and P_(-H)• may be quenched by antioxidants in the DOM (DOM_{ao}) and revert back to the parent compound (eq 8). This is thought to explain DOM's inhibition of the

photosensitized transformation of certain TrOCs under solar irradiation.^{165,171,172} Recently, the inhibitory effect of DOM on anilines and sulfonamide antibiotics in a SO₄^{•-}-based AOP has been reported. It is thought to be mainly caused by reduction of intermediate radicals by phenolic groups in the DOM.¹⁶⁶ However, the antioxidant capacity of DOM does not follow the same pattern as its inhibitory effects. That is, the DOM with the greatest antioxidant capacity does not deliver the strongest inhibition. The reason remains unknown.

$$SO_4^{\bullet-} + P \to SO_4^{2-} + P^{\bullet+}$$
(5)

$$P^{\bullet+} \leftrightarrow P_{(-H)}^{\bullet} + H^{+}$$
(6)

$$P^{\bullet+}(or P_{(-H)}^{\bullet}) \to P_{ox}$$
(7)

$$\text{DOM}_{ao} + P^{\bullet+}(\text{or } P_{(-H)}^{\bullet}) \rightarrow P + \text{DOM}_{ox}$$
 (8)

Quantitative examination of the quenching of $P^{\bullet+}/P_{(-H)}^{\bullet-}$ by antioxidants in DOM has so far been quite limited. Three recent studies tracked the transient spectra of the intermediate radicals and obtained the quenching kinetics for tryptophan radical cation (Trp^{•+}), 4-(dimethylamino) benzonitrile radical cation (DMABN^{•+}), and neutral adenine radical $(ADN_{(-H)}^{\bullet})$. The rate constants for their quenching reactions varied from 10^7 to 10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ with the phenolic model compounds studied and from 10^7 to $10^8 M_{\rm C}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}$ with Suwannee River DOM isolates.^{167–169} Only 37% of the SO₄⁻⁻ was quenched in the presence of 1 mgC L^{-1} of Suwannee River NOM (SRNOM), but the first-order degradation rate constant of ADN decreased by 88% compared with that in the absence of SRNOM. That has been attributed to the reversing of $ADN_{(-H)}$ s reactions by the phenolic moieties within DOM.¹⁷³ In consideration of the higher concentrations of DOM $(mg_C L^{-1})$ found in natural waters, the reverse reactions may significantly affect the degradation of TrOCs in AOPs, especially those with high reaction rate constants (eq 8).¹⁷⁴

Not all contaminant radicals can be quenched by the antioxidants in DOM. The three intermediate radicals discussed above all have relatively high reduction potentials. For Trp^{•+} it is 1.0 V vs. standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) (at pH 7.0). For DMABN^{•+} it is 1.3 V and for $ADN_{(-H)}^{\bullet}$ it is 1.32 V vs. SHE.^{167–169} The reduction potential for aniline radical cations is also high at about 1.0 V vs. SHE.¹⁷⁵ Regarding the antioxidants in DOM, taking the phenolic compounds as examples, their oxidation potentials are in the range from -0.79 to -0.4 V for their deprotonated forms.¹⁷⁶ As such, most phenols are susceptible to oxidation by these P^{•+} or $P_{(-H)}^{\bullet}$ via single electron transfer. However, the reduction potential difference is not the only factor controlling the reaction between $P^{\bullet+}$ or $P_{(-H)}^{\bullet}$ and antioxidants. Some phenolic and thiol-containing compounds can undergo proton-coupled electron transfer with $P^{\bullet+}$ or $P_{(-H)}^{\bullet}$.^{177,178} Previous studies also indicate that the reaction rate constants between P^{\bullet_+} or $P_{(-H)}^{\bullet_-}$ and antioxidants are not exactly in line with the reduction potentials. That suggests that both protoncoupled electron transfer and single electron transfer might be involved in the reactions.¹⁶⁷

3. SYNERGISTIC CONTRIBUTION OF DOM TOWARD TROC TRANSFORMATION

Often overlooked and rarely intentionally integrated into AOP design or operation is the potential for DOM to synergistically

enhance TrOC removal. Figure 5 illustrates some synergistic mechanisms and their potential relevance.



Figure 5. Synergistic mechanisms involving generation of reactive species from DOM that potentially accelerate the transformation of TrOCs in an AOP. PS means inclusion both of PDS and PMS.

3.1. Formation and Reactivity of Transient Species within DOM. The reactions of inorganic radicals with DOM can generate DOM radicals (DOM[•]), which may also contribute to the degradation of TrOCs in an AOP (eqs 9 and 10) (Table S6).

$$^{\bullet}OH/SO_{4}^{\bullet-}/CO_{3}^{\bullet-} + DOM \to DOM^{\bullet}$$
(9)

$$DOM^{\bullet} + P \to P_{ox} \tag{10}$$

The absorption spectra of DOM[•] appear during [•]OH reactions with DOM isolates. The peak absorbance is at 325-400 nm.⁵¹ DOM[•]'s absorbance at 400 nm because of [•]OH oxidation of SRFA decays exponentially within milliseconds. In SO₄^{•-} oxidation of DOM the transient absorbance at 450 nm may be attributed to phenoxyl radicals resulting from oneelectron oxidation of phenolic moieties in the DOM.¹³² The current understanding of transient species within DOM is still quite limited, but it seems to be present as longer and shorter lived species that can also be important in engineered AOP systems. The millisecond time scale of DOM[•]'s existence is sufficient for it to be involved in reactions with other radicals.

DOM[•] species including phenoxyl (PhO[•]), alkoxyl (RO[•]), peroxyl (ROO[•]), and percarboxyl radicals (RC(O)OO[•]) have half-lives ranging from microseconds or milliseconds for PhO[•] and RO[•] to a few seconds for ROO[•].^{179,180} The reduction potential for the unsubstituted phenoxyl radical (PhO[•]) is 0.79 V (vs. NHE).¹⁷⁶ Those of substituted phenoxyl radicals vary from near zero for electron-rich substituents (e.g., 4-O⁻-PhO[•]) to 1.22 V (vs. NHE) for electron-poor substituents (e.g., 4-NO₂-PhO[•]).¹⁷⁶ The reduction potentials are 1.23–1.47 V (vs. NHE) for RO[•], 1.05–1.19 V (vs. NHE) for ROO[•], and 0.71– 0.83 V (vs. NHE) for RC(O)OO[•].^{181,182} Consequently, organic radicals are potentially as capable as inorganic radicals of transforming TrOCs. The oxidation potential of TrOCs can vary widely, for example from -1.22 to -0.54 V for phenols or -1.32 to -0.59 V for anilines.^{10,67,73} Thus, their oxidation by transient species within DOM is thermodynamically feasible. Note that other transient species formed from amino acids present in DOM (amine radical cations for example) may be reactive because of their relatively high reduction potential (~ 1.0 V vs. SHE),^{175,176} but their impact is minor because of their much lower concentrations relative to phenolic moieties within DOM.¹⁸³

Second-order reaction rate constants between organic radicals (e.g., RO[•] and ROO[•]) and common moieties and classes of pollutant (e.g., phenols, aromatic amines, and hydrocarbons) are listed in Table S7. Among the long-lived transient species within DOM, phenoxyl radicals may be the most important because of the prevalence of phenolics in DOM (at mM gC^{-1} levels).^{183,184} Phenoxyl radicals are very selective and reactive toward electron-rich organic compounds such as phenolates and amines via single electron transfer reactions.¹⁸⁵ For example, the second-order rate constants for reactions between phenoxyl radicals and dissociated catechol, hydroquinone, and thiols approach diffusion-controlled rate limits $(\sim 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$.¹⁸⁵ However, the rate constants for reactions with electron-poor moieties (e.g., olefins and fatty acid esters) are very low (from $\sim 10^{-5}$ to $10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).¹⁸⁵ The oxidation of some TrOCs such as anilines and sulfonamide antibiotics in SO4.--based AOPs has been attributed to phenoxyl radicals generated from electron-poor moieties within DOM (roughly 1.0 to 1.3 V vs. NHE).¹⁶⁶ Despite the evidence of a role for phenoxyl radicals, little is known about their structures, levels, and distribution within the DOM ensemble. Additionally, tert-butoxyl radicals are also reactive toward electron-rich moieties, such as the unsaturated fatty acids and some nucleosides and their reaction rate constants reach $\sim 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.¹⁸⁶ Phenylperoxyl radicals can react with 4methoxylphenolate and chlorpromazine at $\sim 10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ via the electron-transfer reaction pathway.¹⁸⁷ The transient species within DOM can be versatile because of the diverse function groups of DOM. Many factors, including self-reactions or steric hindrance, can affect their reactivity. Though the reaction rate constants of DOM[•] with TrOCs have not been well studied so far, related literature results imply that they may play important roles in degrading some TrOCs, especially those with electronrich moieties.

Besides redox reactions, nucleophilic addition reactions to quinones or semiquinone radicals have also been reported. The aniline nitrogen in sulfonamide antibiotics and the thiol-sulfur in captopril undergo addition reactions at the DOM's electron-poor sites.^{70–72} Besides the 1,2-nucleophilic and 1,4-nucleophilic addition reactions of aniline with quinones in DOM, radical reactions involving anilino and semiquinone radicals are also possible.^{70–72} This could constitute an additional TrOC degradation pathway in the presence of DOM.

In addition to the long-lived DOM[•], DOM also produces other short-lived reactive species such as $O_2^{\bullet-}$ and [•]OH. Previous studies have indicated that redox-active moieties such as phenolic and quinone-like groups present in DOM may be responsible for the formation of $O_2^{\bullet-}$ in AOPs.^{144,188–190} Upon photoexcitation and/or oxidation by SO₄^{•-}, electrondonating phenolic groups in DOM may transfer electrons to quinone-like groups with electron-accepting capacity yielding one-electron reducing intermediates (DOM^{•-}) (eqs 11 and 12). The DOM^{•-} can be further oxidized by oxygen to

generate $O_2^{\bullet-}$ (eq 13). Furthermore, the formation of H_2O_2 is dominated by catalyzed and uncatalyzed $O_2^{\bullet-}$ dismutation processes (eq 14).¹⁹¹ H₂O₂ can also produce \bullet OH via further photolysis or through the Fenton-type reactions (eq 15).¹⁹²⁻¹⁹⁴ •OH can also be formed from O₃ oxidation of DOM (eq 16). DOM moieties such as phenols, amines, and amino acids generate [•]OH upon O₃ oxidation.^{64,116} Electronrich moieties in DOM, such as phenols, anilines, olefins can act as radical initiator and initiate the transformation of O₃ to •OH.⁶⁴ Carboxylic acid, hydroxyl, and aryl groups in DOM react with [•]OH and can act as radical promotors, through which organic radicals can form and then propagate the radical chain reactions to eventually produce another •OH.44,54,63,195 Alkyl groups in DOM act as inhibitors to interrupt radial chain reactions by rapidly scavenging *OH. Depending on DOM properties, both inhibitory and promoting effects on pollutants removal have been observed in ozonation and ozone-based AOPs.^{196,19}

$$DOM + hv \to {}^{3}DOM^{*}$$
(11)

$$^{3}\text{DOM}^{*} + \text{PhOH} \rightarrow \text{DOM}^{\bullet-} + \text{PhO}^{\bullet} + \text{H}^{+}$$
 (12)

$$\mathrm{DOM}^{\bullet-} + \mathrm{O}_2 \to \mathrm{DOM} + \mathrm{O}_2^{\bullet-} \tag{13}$$

$$2O_2^{\bullet-} + 2H^+ \to H_2O_2 + O_2$$
 (14)

$$H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO^{\bullet}$$
 (15)

$$\text{DOM} + \text{O}_3 \to \text{HO}^{\bullet}$$
 (16)

The synergistic impact of transient species within DOM on TrOC degradation should be considered relative to its impact on the inorganic reactive species for which most AOPs are designed. Long-lived DOM[•] forms because it has relatively low reactivity with other compounds in the water compared to other radical species. That may leave higher DOM[•] concentrations in the water to react with TrOCs in competition with inorganic radicals. There are, however, few reports of DOM[•]'s concentrations measured during AOPs. That is an area where future research is likely to be fruitful.

Consider the potential importance of transient species within DOM. For TrOCs having relatively high reaction rate constants with DOM[•] (say, 10^6-10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹), the contribution of transient species within DOM to their degradation may not be negligible. A recent study has demonstrated that semiquinone radicals (SQ*-) can make a significant contribution to the degradation of nitroimidazoles in SO₄^{•-}-based oxidation process with DOM present.⁷³ The $SQ^{\bullet-}$ is formed via the $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ -induced oxidation of the DOM's quinone- and hydroquinone-like moieties. The second-order rate constant for tinidazole's reaction with SQ^{•-} has been determined to be $(5.69 \pm 0.59) \times 10^6$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹.⁷³ Although SQ^{•-} reacts with tinidazole with a much lower rate constant than that of $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ ((2.70 ± 0.06) × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹), the concentration of $SQ^{\bullet-}$ is typically about 3 orders of magnitude higher than that of $SO_4^{\bullet-}$, resulting in $SQ^{\bullet-}$ making a comparable contribution to tinidazole elimination.⁷³ Deprotonated phenolic and thio-containing TrOCs may be affected by the generation of transient species within DOM in AOPs because of their high reactivity with DOM^{•.185}

The importance of reactive species from DOM is dependent on their concentrations and reaction rate constants. Production of ${}^{3}\text{DOM}^{*}$, ${}^{1}\text{O}_{2}$, and H_{2}O_{2} that occurs during UV irradiation treatment of water containing DOM and ${}^{3}\text{DOM}^{*}$ was

concluded to impact TrOCs' removal only at very high UV doses (e.g., 2000 mJ/cm²).⁹⁵ In •OH-based AOPs for surface water and wastewater treatment, such as O₃/H₂O₂ and UV/ H₂O₂ AOPs, models to predict TrOCs' degradation currently not only account for the scavenging impacts of DOM but also show acceptable predictive performance.^{79,198,199} These findings suggest the minimal impacts of transient species within DOM in OH-based AOPs, whereas such DOM impacts may be more significant in SO4.--based AOPs. The difference between [•]OH- and SO₄^{•-}-based AOPs relates to the reaction mechanisms of [•]OH and SO₄^{•-} radicals with DOM and the different types of transient DOM species produced. For example, [•]OH is prone to react with DOM via unsaturated bond addition to produce $^{\circ}$ OH-adducts, which react rapidly with oxygen $(10^8 - 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$.²⁰⁰ In contrast, SO₄ $^{\circ-}$ serves as a one-electron oxidant, and produced $DOM^{\bullet+}$ or $DOM_{(-H)}^{\bullet+}$ may participate in the redox reactions of TrOCs. Additionally, the concentrations of DOM[•] generated in $^{\bullet}OH$ - and $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ based AOPs may also be quite different and exert different impacts in TrOC transformation (Figure 4). The information on DOM[•] in different AOPs needs more exploration.

Transient DOM species' influence on TrOC degradation may be advanced by improved quantitative detection and tracking their concentrations. Application of time-resolved spectroscopy, electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, and electrochemical analysis can provide some information about the optical and redox properties of DOM[•]. The reactivity of a specific radical can be explored by using laser flash photolysis or pulse radiolysis techniques. We postulate the difficulty lies in the quantification of [DOM[•]]_{ss} because of the heterogeneous structures that comprise DOM, the coexistence of multiple organic radicals, and the lack of specific probe for the organic radicals. Novel chemical probes that detect these intermediates would be highly beneficial and are urgently needed. In consideration of the large quantity of phenolic and quinoid moieties in DOM ($\mu M/mgC$),^{73,183,184} research on phenoxyl radicals and semiquinone radicals may be a good starting place for future research.

3.2. DOM Involved Pathways to Generate Reactive Species. Phenolic and quinone moieties seem to play the most important roles in the radical formation in AOPs (Figure 5). Quinones can activate PDS or PMS to generate reactive species.^{201–204} For example, 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ) can activate PDS to generate $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ through forming a semi-quinone radical,²⁰¹ or it can react with PMS to form a dioxirane intermediate, which subsequently decomposes to produce singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_{2}$).²⁰² However, in studies of the activation of PMS or PDS the relative importance of DOM has not been significant. This is probably because of the low concentrations of quinone compounds in natural waters and wastewater.¹⁰⁹

Phenolic and quinone moieties are involved in the redox transformation of Fe(III) to Fe(II) ($E^0 = 0.77$ V vs. NHE).²⁰⁵ Fe(II) can activate H₂O₂, PMS, or PDS to generate [•]OH or SO₄^{•-}. The slow transformation from Fe(III) back to Fe(II) is known to be the rate-limiting step in Fe(II)-mediated AOPs, so the presence of DOM can enhance the working of Fenton and Fenton-like processes because hydroquinone- and/or semiquinone-type species in DOM can promote Fe(III)/ Fe(II) redox cycling.^{188,206} The enhancement of course depends on the types and concentrations of the reducing moieties, as DOM also consumes radicals. Additionally, DOM complexes with iron species. The complexation changes the

reduction potential of iron and can accelerate the rate of Fe(II)'s oxidation by the oxidants such as H_2O_2 , PMS, or PDS. That would enhance radical formation.^{207,208} That is why the presence of DOM has been found to enhance the degradation rates of certain TrOCs in the Fe(II)/H₂O₂, Fe(III)/H₂O₂, Fe(II)/PDS, and PMS-amended iron coagulation processes using ferric salts.^{109,208–211} Similarly, the redox-active moieties in DOM can also mediate the redox cycling of Cu(II)/Cu(I) and vanadium(V)/vanadium(IV), and that can also enhance the activation of PDS or PMS.^{212,213} Moreover, in a PMS system activated by visible-light-excited humic acid, quinone moieties are photo-induced and act as electron shuttles to accelerate electron transfer between the humic acid and the PMS. That can activate PMS to form reactive species for the degradation of bisphenol-A.²¹⁴ Future experiments may better elucidate the role of DOM by examining the net effects of bisphenol-A degradation by light-activated PMS across a range of concentrations of DOM from different sources.

3.3. Engineering Systems Containing DOM to Generate More Reactive Species. Phenolic, quinone, and quinone-like moieties in DOM are the redox-active moieties, and they can complex with metals, induce and mediate electron transfer with transition metals, and activate oxidants to generate reactive species.^{201,205,215–217} Tailoring technologies to utilize DOM in water to enhance the generation of reactive species for the degradation of TrOCs is an emerging opportunity. It may constitute an attractive alternative to removing DOM prior to advanced oxidation treatment. For example, an organic Fenton-like catalyst was developed recently by exploiting quinone-like compounds as H_2O_2 activators to generate reactive [•]OH and ¹O₂. This results in improving pollutant reduction while refreshing electrochemically the working electrodes.¹⁹⁴ Another example is a PMSamended iron coagulation process that achieves both coagulation and advanced oxidation mainly by relying on DOM's ability to complex with Fe and promoting its redox cycling. The result is enhanced generation of $^{\circ}OH$ and $SO_4^{\circ-}$.^{109,213} The degradation of bisphenol-A has been shown to be enhanced in a process in which PMS is activated by an FeAl layered double hydroxide compounded with DOM.²¹

To improve our fundamental understanding of these systems, we must improve the quantitative characterization of specific functional groups present in DOM that are involved in the pathways to generate reactive species (e.g., electron shuttles and/or direct electron transfer). Specifically, quantification of reactive species formation efficiency based on the number of electrons transfer between DOM and activating chemical/materials are required. These insights will then allow new and novel engineered designs that enhance electron transfer efficiency to improve the positive effect of DOM.

4. DOM TRANSFORMATION AND BYPRODUCT FORMATION

Reactions with radical oxidants and activating agents (e.g., UV light) inevitably alter DOM's composition and may result in byproducts formation. Figure 6 illustrates representative transformations in DOM structure and byproduct formation during AOP treatment.

4.1. Alteration of DOM Compositions. Quantifying the alterations of DOM in AOPs has involved techniques such as monitoring changes in optical properties using UV–vis absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy.^{44,52,219} Changes in redox properties can be monitored using electrochemical



Figure 6. Formation of byproducts from DOM transformation in an AOP.

detection and titration methods,^{110,183,220–222} and changes in chemical composition are apparent through size exclusion chromatography, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry.^{44,45,52,80,111,146,223–225}

DOM's aromatic content commonly decreases during an AOP and is reflected in a reduction in its SUVA.^{89,92,105,219–222} DOM's aromatics are responsible for UV absorbance around 254 nm, and their selective attack during AOP treatment is logical given the positive relationship between radical reactivity and DOM's SUVA (Figure 4b). The transformation of high molecular weight DOM fractions into lower molecular weight ones is observed both in °OH-based AOPs and in UV/chlorine treatment.^{226,227} In SO₄•-based oxidation, there is often simultaneous removal of higher molecular weight chromophores and mineralization.²²³ The incorporation of nitrite-sourced nitrogen into DOM molecules has been confirmed by ¹⁵N NMR analysis in a heat/PDS system.²²⁸

DOM transformation at the molecular level has been increasingly investigated by using high-resolution mass spectrometry methods, such as Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) and Orbitrap mass spectrometry (MS).^{80,224,229,230} A reduction in aromaticity and in doublebond equivalence, co-occurring with an increase in the degree of oxidation and in aliphatic content (0.5 < O/C < 2 and 1 < H/C < 3), are often observed in various AOPs.^{139,225,231–233} DOM with a lower H/C ratio and greater aromaticity is more readily degraded in the UV/chlorine process rather than UV/ H_2O_2 or UV/PS processes.²³² A recent study indicates that DOM moieties with lower H/C ratios (i.e., more aromatic) are favorable for ozone reactions, while those with high H/C ratios (i.e., more aliphatic) are favorable for •OH reactions.²²⁴ Additionally, diverse transformation products have been observed after AOP treatment. •OH often reacts via radical addition and produces numerous hydroxylated, oxygenated, and ring-cleavage products after reacting with DOM.^{224,234} SO4 •- preferentially attacks the carboxyl-rich molecules in DOM via single electron transfer pathways and the stabilization of intermediate cationic radicals is often associated with decarboxylation reactions.^{223,234} Chlorine photolysis transforms DOM via multiple pathways and produces more aliphatic organics and it is difficult to characterize high molecular weight chlorine-containing products.⁸⁰

The composition of oxidized DOM can affect the subsequent water treatment processes and water qualities receiving the effluent. The polar and lower molecular weight organic byproducts (e.g., aldehydes, ketones, and carboxylic acids) are often biodegradable. Assimilable organic carbon (AOC), a measure of the labile fraction of DOC, is frequently observed to increase after UV/H₂O₂, UV photocatalysis, and

 O_3/H_2O_2 treatment, though discrepancy exists.^{235–237} AOC is a critical parameter for controlling bacterial regrowth potential in water distribution systems, and the increases in AOC values suggest the reduction of water biostability after AOPs treatment. OH-induced decarboxylation and deamination seem to reduce and enhance the assimilability, respectively, whereas both increases and decreases in assimilability are observed for hydroxylation and dealkylation reactions.²³⁵ There is some consensus about the order of magnitude of AOC concentrations that constitute biostable water. AOC levels from below 10 to 100 μ g/L are often considered to support only low levels bacterial growth in drinking water.²³⁸ Biological activated carbon or biological filters after AOPs can be helpful to reduce AOC levels.²³⁹ Further studies are suggested to evaluate the assimilability of AOP treated water and the dependence on water quality and radical exposure.

Additionally, low molecular weight carbonyls (LMWCs), such as α,β -unsaturated enedials and oxoenals, can form from DOM's phenolics during UV/H₂O₂ treatment.^{81,223} The unsaturated carbonyl compounds, in particular α,β -unsaturated aldehydes, may pose potential health risks because of their high reactivity via nucleophilic addition to biomolecules.

The understanding of the DOM transformation is essential as it affects not only the elimination efficiency of TrOCs but also the environmental risks in receiving waters. The combination of bulk analytical methods, augmented with high-resolution MS, is needed to gain further unknown DOM transformation byproducts. Building upon prior work, there is evidence that improved molecular identification can be improved by applying hydrophilic interaction chromatography and high-performance size exclusion chromatography to separate DOM into fractions before analysis.^{240,241} The combination of chromatograph separation, multidimensional NMR, and FT-ICR MS can be helpful to improve the understanding of the transformation of DOM at molecular levels in the AOPs.

4.2. The Formation of Toxic Halogen Containing Byproducts. Halogenated organic byproducts (X-OBPs) can form as DOM transforms during AOPs, and X-OBPs are of high concern because of their potential human health risks.²⁴²⁻²⁴⁴ X-OBPs can form through multiple pathways in AOPs, including reactions of DOM with halogen radicals and/ or hypohalous acid/hypohalite (HOX/OX⁻). Halides in the water can be oxidized by primary radicals ($^{\bullet}OH$, SO₄ $^{\bullet-}$, etc.) to form halogen radicals. Chain reactions among halogen radicals and the oxidation of halides by common oxidants (e.g., ozone, PMS), reaction intermediates (e.g., Co^{3+}), and activation reagents (e.g., CuFeO₂) all produce HOX and/or OX^{-.245-248} The generation of X-OBPs from HOX/OX⁻ is well-known, but debates continue regarding whether halogen radicals can react with DOM to form X-DBPs.^{87,249–251} Recent work in our group provides direct evidence of the difference of chlorine and bromine radicals in forming X-OBPs.^{56,135} Chlorine radicals (Cl[•] and Cl₂^{•-}) react with DOM to form Cl-OBPs. The maximum total organic chlorine (TOCl) was around 1 μ M and ~3-7 μ M at an oxidant exposure (CT value) of $4-8 \times 10^{-12}$ M s⁻¹ for Cl[•] and $1.1-2.2 \times 10^{-10}$ M s⁻¹ for Cl₂^{•-}, respectively. In contrast, bromine radicals (Br[•] and Br2^{•-}) barely form Br-OBPs from reactions with DOM (i.e., <0.5 μ M at CT value of ~10⁻⁹ M s⁻¹ for Br[•]/Br₂^{•-}).^{56,135} As such, HOBr/OBr⁻ is the dominant oxidant to form Br-OBPs, whereas Cl[•]/Cl₂^{•-} and HOCl/OCl⁻ can all contribute to Cl-OBP formation. So limiting HOX/OX⁻ formation in

AOPs is a crucial step in controlling X-OBP formation, especially for Br-OBPs. The formation of X-OBPs in different AOPs can vary significantly. For example, H₂O₂-based AOPs do not usually form significant concentrations of X-OBPs because of the low level of both halogen radicals (because of the reversible reactions between 'OH and Cl- and the reduction by H_2O_2) and HOX/OX⁻ (because of the reduction by H_2O_2). 62,135,252,253 In SO_4^{-} -based AOPs, PMS is more reactive with background Cl⁻ and Br⁻ than PDS to generate HOCl/OCl⁻ and HOBr/OBr⁻, resulting in X-OBP formation.^{3,254} For AOPs using chlorine as the activating chemical (e.g., UV/chlorine), X-OBP is of great concern because of the DOM reactions with HOX/OX⁻ added or generated from bromide/iodide oxidation.²⁵⁵ $Cl^{\bullet}/Cl_{2}^{\bullet-}$ can not only contribute to Cl-OBP formation but also transform DOM to either increase or decrease Cl-OBP precursors.⁵⁶ More information about oxidation byproduct formation in AOPs can be found in an excellent review compiled by Ike and his colleagues.87 Notably, despite the difference in the concentrations and formation mechanisms of X-OBPs in different AOPs, DOM is the major precursor of X-OBPs. Eliminating DOM concentrations is generally beneficial for controlling X-OBPs.

The formation of inorganic byproducts such as chlorite, chlorate, and bromate is also a concern in AOPs.^{83,256,257} Being different from X-OBPs, they are not derived from DOM. Instead, the quenching of their precursors (e.g., halogen radicals and HOX/OX⁻) by DOM and DOM-derived reducing species (e.g., $O_2^{\bullet-}$, semiquinone radicals, excited state of DOM)^{10,217,258} could significantly reduce the formation of inorganic byproducts (Figure 6).82,83,257,259,260 For example, DOM inhibits chlorate formation in the $Co^{2+}/$ PMS AOP by scavenging chlorine radicals and HOCl/OCl-.82 DOM inhibits bromate formation in UV/PDS because of the elimination of bromine radicals and HOBr/OBr⁻ by O2^{•-} formed from UV irradiation of DOM, and the reduction of bromine radicals by DOM to Br^{-.260,261} The presence of DOM also inhibits bromate formation in ozonation or ozone-based AOPs because of competitive reactions with $O_3/^{\bullet}OH$ and the reactions with the formed HOBr/OBr^{-.252} However, Br-OBP formation increases instead.²⁵⁹ Forasmuch, the formation of both X-OBPs and halogen containing oxyanions should be considered in AOPs. Integrated processes, such as combination with membrane filtration, activated carbon adsorption, and ion exchange, can be desirable to reduce the adverse effects of these toxic byproducts.

4.3. The Necessity of Toxicity Assessment. Toxicity studies are highly recommended to evaluate the potential adverse health effects of treated water and wastewater, which are missing in majority of the studies. A few studies investigating the trade-offs between the effectiveness of AOPs in eliminating TrOCs versus the formation of toxic transformation products have produced conflicting toxicity observations. For example, after AOP treatment of Suwannee River DOM in a UV/chlorine AOP, a Chinese hamster ovary cell assay showed enhanced cytotoxicity.²²⁶ However, a reduction in toxicity has been reported when UV irradiation is combined with chlorine.^{255,262-264} Some studies have reported the increases in genotoxic activity after UV/O₃, UV/O₃/H₂O₂, O₃/H₂O₂, and UV/H₂O₂ treatment, ^{235,265–267} while other studies have not. ^{267,268} The differences in toxicity might be attributable to different water contaminants, the UV applied (LPUV or MPUV), oxidant dosage, or the bioassays used in the toxicity tests. In chemico, in vitro, and in vivo assays

may be applied to target health-relevant end points.^{269,270} For halogenated DBPs, the calculation of the sum of potency-weighted DBP concentrations can be used as an estimate of the cell cytotoxicity associated with known DBPs.²⁷¹ Moreover, establishing linkages between the transformation of specific functional groups with the changes of toxicological effects can provide important guidance on optimizing engineering designs. For example, granular activated carbon adsorption after AOP treatment effectively reduces toxicity by physically removing the transformation byproducts (typically carboxylic acids and aldehydes).^{19,266,272,273}

5. THE WAY FORWARD

5.1. Predicting the Abatement of TrOCs in AOPs. Mechanistic and machine learning models of TrOC degrada-tion in AOPs are emerging.^{274–278} The degradation of TrOCs in an AOP is governed by the oxidant exposure (eqs 17-19). Models developed to predict the degradation of TrOCs in surface water and wastewater effluents show good predictive power with •OH-based AOPs such as the O₃/H₂O₂ and UV/ H₂O₂ systems.^{77,279} A key limitation has been parameterization of the DOM component of such models, as most require probe compound experiments to first obtain water-specific oxidant exposures during the treatment. While in situ probe measurement techniques are improving, most still require off-line experiments.^{279–282} For example, the decay of O_3 and the [•]OH probe compound such as p-chlorobenzoic acid needs to be measured first to get $\int [O_3] dt$ and $\int [{}^{\bullet}OH] dt$ values before using eq 17 to predict the degradation.^{5,77} The steady-state concentrations of 'OH must also be obtained using pchlorobenzoic acid or rhodamine B as a probe compound before using eq 18.^{283,284} For AOPs with many types of RS, all the steady-state RS concentrations are needed (eq 19).

$$-\ln\left(\frac{[\text{TrOC}]}{[\text{TrOC}]_0}\right) = k_{O_3} \int [O_3] dt + k_{OH} \int [^{\bullet}OH] dt$$
(17)

$$-\ln\left(\frac{[\text{TrOC}]}{[\text{TrOC}]_{0}}\right) = H \times k_{\text{UV}} + [^{\bullet}\text{OH}]_{\text{SS}} \times k_{\bullet\text{OH},\text{TrOCs}} \times t$$
(18)

$$k' = k_{\rm RS, TrOCs} \times [\rm RS]_{\rm SS} \tag{19}$$

Few full-scale AOPs applications yet modify operations based on such models (e.g., digital twins) and rarely determine oxidant exposure or RS concentrations in their continuous flow reactors. Digital twins are emerging as viable design and operational tools for water treatment.²⁸⁵ For AOPs, the major uncertainty lies in the different DOM characteristics and their different reactivity with oxidants. The behavior of RS with ubiquitous inorganic ions such as Cl⁻, Br⁻, and CO₃^{2–} is better understood. Correlating DOM properties with RS may be a step forward to predicting the impacts of DOM composition on an AOP. Machine learning methods using fluorescence excitation–emission data describing the DOM already offers accurate prediction of the abatement of TrOCs in the ozonation of drinking water and wastewater effluent.²⁸⁶

Adjusting the oxidant dose and the intensity of illumination based on water quality promises attractive in energy cost savings while still achieving treatment goals, but it needs integrated online monitoring of DOM properties and their connection with reactivity. Further integration of existing mechanistic models that predict TrOC degradation pathways and rate constants accounting for both synergistic and antagonistic effects is now the frontier for improving AOP models.^{274,275,287,288}

5.2. Understanding the Fundamental Reaction Mechanisms of DOM with Reactive Species. There are fundamental mechanisms in the reactions of DOM components that remain unclear. The role of DOM[•] in AOPs is often neglected primarily for want of appropriate analytical methods. Though long-lived DOM radicals such as phenoxyl, alkoxyl, peroxyl, and percarboxyl radicals are known to have lower reactivity than RS such as $^{\circ}OH$ and $SO_4^{\circ-}$, they can possibly outcompete the RS because of their higher concentrations. The presence of long-lived radicals has been verified using electron paramagnetic resonance and time-resolved spectroscopy determinations.^{51,289} However, methods for quantifying DOM[•] levels are not available. There are even no published methods for measuring the specific PhO[•], RO[•], or ROO[•] concentrations. Additionally, the reactions in the confined volumes of DOM-TrOCs and catalyst-DOM interactions are not yet well understood. In heterogenous AOPs the reactions happen on the catalyst's surface, in the solid-liquid boundary layer, or in the bulk solution.²⁹⁰ The role of DOM components on the surface or in the boundary layer is barely known. Heterogeneous distribution of ¹O₂ and [•]OH on a microscale during solar irradiation of DOM has been found to accelerate the degradation of target TrOCs,⁶⁹ but the radical reaction chemistry in that microscale environment is not yet well studied. As such, localized quantification methods for DOM[•] and radicals are badly needed.

5.3. Developing AOPs that Exploit DOM. The typical focus of an AOP is on designing better catalysts that generate more RS. Exploiting DOM has only rarely been considered. Insulating target TrOCs from DOM can reduce DOM's inhibitory effects. In doing so, rational structural design to improve selectivity (size-selective properties for example, or selective surface interactions) is critical.^{19,291-294} When the pore sizes of the materials are tailored to separate DOM from the TrOCs by size-exclusion, the DOM's impacts on TrOCs adsorption and oxidation can be minimized. This is how yolkshell Co/C nanoscale reactors work.^{291,292,295} Conjugating molecularly imprinted materials with photocatalysts can also improve the efficiency of photocatalytic processes.²⁹⁶ Another approach to consider is to take advantage of the diversity of DOM's properties. It can perhaps provide metal ligands for complexing oxidants and reductants or serve as an organic activator for some Fenton-like reactions. Opportunities exist to make DOM serve to accelerate the degradation of TrOCs in AOPs. DOM's quinones and quinone-like moieties are redoxactive, and they can be exploited in reactions to generate RS or degrade TrOCs.²⁹⁷ A recent study has shown their role in activating H2O2 to generate OH and 1O2.194 Another has demonstrated that they can reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II) to activate PMS in $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ generation.^{109,194} DOM is ubiquitous. It should be exploited to promote TrOC removal.

5.4. Improving Understanding the Formation of Byproducts and Their Toxicity. AOP development also needs to attend more to the formation of oxidation byproducts and to their toxicity. Many halogenated organic byproducts are regulated in certain jurisdictions, but pharmaceuticals rarely are.²⁴² Tools such as transient spectroscopy, ultra-high-resolution mass spectrometry, and three-dimensional NMR spectroscopy can help to elucidate the relationships between byproduct formation and DOM transformation at nanosecond

to microsecond time scales and on the molecular level.^{41,56,80,111,138,224,298–300} In terms of toxicity, the commonly used effect-directed analysis inevitably causes a loss of volatile and/or polar components from the samples.³⁰¹ Reactivity-directed analysis using organic electrophilic molecules may be a better choice for determining the toxicity of byproducts generated from DOM.^{81,302} Bioassays employing bacteria, invertebrates, algae, plants, fish, and different water compositions should be employed to evaluate the toxicity from multiple perspectives. A multidimensional understanding of byproduct formation is required. The mutual relationships involved in DOM's transformation, concentrations, the types of byproducts generated, and their cytotoxicity and genotoxicity are all important.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

3 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.2c01017.

UV-vis absorbance spectra of some nanoscale materials, main reactive species produced in different AOPs, second-order reaction rate constants of water components and DOM with radicals, and characteristics of source water and secondary wastewater effluent from different sources (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Xin Yang – School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Control and Remediation Technology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China; orcid.org/0000-0001-9860-423X; Email: yangx36@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Authors

- Fernando L. Rosario-Ortiz Department of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-3311-9089
- Yu Lei School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Control and Remediation Technology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China; Ocid.org/0000-0002-0120-6110
- Yanheng Pan School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Control and Remediation Technology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China
- Xin Lei School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Control and Remediation Technology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China
- Paul Westerhoff School of Sustainable Engineering and the Built Environment, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-3005, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c01017

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Yangjian Zhou, Yanchun Deng, and Yu Wang for collecting the references. We also gratefully acknowledge the financial support received from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant 2017YFE0133200), National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 22176225 and 21876210), and the National Science Foundation (grants CBET-1804229 and EEC-1449500).

ABBREVIATIONS

DOM	Dissolved organic matter	
SRFA	Suwannee River fulvic acid	
FAs	Fulvic acids	
HAs	Humic acids	
NOM	Natural organic matter	
AOPs	Advanced oxidation processes	
TrOCs	Trace organic contaminants	
•ОН	Hydroxyl radical	
SO4 •-	Sulfate radical	
$O_2^{\bullet-}$	Superoxide ion	
$^{1}\overline{O_{2}}$	Singlet oxygen	
H_2O_2	Hydrogen peroxide	
PMS	Peroxymonosulfate	
PDS	Peroxydisulfate	
HOCl	Hypochlorous acid	
NH ₂ Cl	Monochloramine	
NHCl ₂	Dichloramine	
Cl• Ĩ	Chlorine atom	
Cl ₂ •-	Dichloride radical anion	
RŠ	Reactive species	
CO3•-	Carbonate radical	
Br	Bromine atom	
$Br_2^{\bullet-}$	Dibromide radical anion	
EfOM	Effluent organic matter	
³ DOM*	Triplet excited state of DOM	
DOM•	DOM radicals	
[RS] _{ss}	Steady-state concentration of reactive species	
$r_{\rm Dc}^{f}$	Generation rate of RS	
$k_{\rm RS}^d$	Consumption rate of RS	
SUVA ₂₅₄	The specific UV absorbance at 254 nm	
ε_{254}	Molar absorptivity value at 254 nm	
AC	Activating chemicals	
$f_{\rm DOM}$	DOM's relative contribution to radical scavenging	
F	The attenuation of light absorption by DOM	
	Low pressure UV	
	The arrow d and a most in most constant of •OII	
K•OH,DOM	with DOM	
$k_{\mathrm{SO}_4 \bullet -, \mathrm{DOM}}$	The second-order reaction rate constant of $SO_4^{\bullet-}$	
1.	with DOM	
^{<i>K</i>} Cl●,DOM	with DOM	
$k_{\text{Cl}_2\bullet-,\text{DOM}}$	The second-order reaction rate constant of $\text{Cl}_2^{\bullet-}$ with DOM	
$k_{\mathrm{Br}ullet,\mathrm{DOM}}$	The second-order reaction rate constant of Br [•] with DOM	
$k_{\mathrm{Br}_2\bullet-,\mathrm{DOM}}$	The second-order reaction rate constant of $Br_2^{\bullet-}$ with DOM	
k _{CO3} ●−,DOM	The second-order reaction rate constant of $CO_3^{\bullet-}$ with DOM	

E2/E3	The absorbance at 254 nm divided by the				
	absorbance at 365 nm				
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons				
PFAS	Perfluorooctanesulfonate				
$P^{\bullet+}$	Intermediate radical cation				
$P_{(-H)}^{\bullet}$	Neutral intermediate radical				
Pox	Oxidation products of intermediate radical				
DOM _{ao}	Antioxidants in the DOM				
Trp ^{●+}	Tryptophan radical cation				
DMABN ^{•+}	4-(dimethylamino) benzonitrile radical cation				
$ADN_{(-H)}^{\bullet}$	Neutral adenine radical				
SRNÓM	Suwannee River NOM				
PhO•	Phenoxyl radicals				
RO•	Alkoxyl radicals				
ROO•	Peroxyl radicals				
SQ•⁻	Semiquinone radicals				
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance				
LMWCs	Low molecular weight carbonyls				
X-OBPs	Halogenated organic byproducts				
HOX/OX ⁻	Hypohalous acid/hypohalite				

REFERENCES

(1) von Gunten, U. Oxidation Processes in Water Treatment: Are We on Track? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (9), 5062–5075.

(2) Miklos, D. B.; Remy, C.; Jekel, M.; Linden, K. G.; Drewes, J. E.; Hubner, U. Evaluation of Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment - A Critical Review. *Water Res.* **2018**, *139*, 118–131.

(3) Lee, J.; von Gunten, U.; Kim, J. H. Persulfate-Based Advanced Oxidation: Critical Assessment of Opportunities and Roadblocks. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (6), 3064–3081.

(4) Westerhoff, P.; Yoon, Y.; Snyder, S.; Wert, E. Fate of Endocrine-Disruptor, Pharmaceutical, and Personal Care Product Chemicals during Simulated Drinking Water Treatment Processes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, 39 (17), 6649–6663.

(5) Huber, M. M.; Canonica, S.; Park, G.-Y.; von Gunten, U. Oxidation of Pharmaceuticals during Ozonation and Advanced Oxidation Processes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2003**, *37* (5), 1016–1024. (6) Wang, J. L.; Wang, S. Z. Reactive Species in Advanced Oxidation Processes: Formation, Identification and Reaction Mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *401*, 126158.

(7) Patel, M.; Kumar, R.; Kishor, K.; Mlsna, T.; Pittman, C. U.; Mohan, D. Pharmaceuticals of Emerging Concern in Aquatic Systems: Chemistry, Occurrence, Effects, and Removal Methods. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119* (6), 3510–3673.

(8) Chuang, Y.-H.; Chen, S.; Chinn, C. J.; Mitch, W. A. Comparing the UV/Monochloramine and UV/Free Chlorine Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) to the UV/Hydrogen Peroxide AOP Under Scenarios Relevant to Potable Reuse. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (23), 13859–13868.

(9) Schwarzenbach, R. P.; Escher, B. I.; Fenner, K.; Hofstetter, T. B.; Johnson, C. A.; von Gunten, U.; Wehrli, B. The Challenge of Micropollutants in Aquatic Systems. *Science* **2006**, *313* (5790), 1072–1077.

(10) Wardman, P. Reduction Potentials of One-Electron Couples Involving Free Radicals in Aqueous Solution. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1989, 18 (4), 1637–1755.

(11) Lian, L.; Yao, B.; Hou, S.; Fang, J.; Yan, S.; Song, W. Kinetic Study of Hydroxyl and Sulfate Radical-Mediated Oxidation of Pharmaceuticals in Wastewater Effluents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (5), 2954–2962.

(12) Buxton, G. V.; Greenstock, C. L.; Helman, W. P.; Ross, A. B. Critical Review of Rate Constants for Reactions of Hydrated Electrons, Hydrogen Atoms and Hydroxyl Radicals ($^{\circ}OH/O^{\circ-}$) in Aqueous Solution. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **1988**, 17 (2), 513–886.

(13) Neta, P.; Huie, R. E.; Ross, A. B. Rate Constants for Reactions of Inorganic Radicals in Aqueous Solution. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **1988**, 17 (3), 1027–1284.

(14) Hayyan, M.; Hashim, M. A.; AlNashef, I. M. Superoxide Ion: Generation and Chemical Implications. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116* (5), 3029–3085.

(15) Yang, Z.; Qian, J.; Yu, A.; Pan, B. Singlet Oxygen Mediated Iron-based Fenton-like Catalysis under Nanoconfinement. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2019**, *116* (14), 6659–6664.

(16) Cheng, X.; Guo, H.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, X.; Liu, Y. Non-photochemical Production of Singlet Oxygen via Activation of Persulfate by Carbon Nanotubes. *Water Res.* **2017**, *113*, 80–88.

(17) Luo, R.; Li, M.; Wang, C.; Zhang, M.; Nasir Khan, M. A.; Sun, X.; Shen, J.; Han, W.; Wang, L.; Li, J. Singlet Oxygen-Dominated Non-Radical Oxidation Process for Efficient Degradation of Bisphenol A under High Salinity Condition. *Water Res.* **2019**, *148*, 416–424.

(18) Rosenfeldt, E. J.; Linden, K. G. The ROH,UV Concept to Characterize and the Model UV/H2O2 Process in Natural Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (7), 2548–2553.

(19) Chuang, Y. H.; Szczuka, A.; Shabani, F.; Munoz, J.; Aflaki, R.; Hammond, S. D.; Mitch, W. A. Pilot-scale Comparison of Microfiltration/reverse Osmosis and Ozone/biological Activated Carbon with UV/hydrogen Peroxide or UV/free Chlorine AOP Treatment for Controlling Disinfection Byproducts during Wastewater Reuse. *Water Res.* **2019**, *152*, 215–225.

(20) Cheng, Z.; Ling, L.; Wu, Z.; Fang, J.; Westerhoff, P.; Shang, C. Novel Visible Light-Driven Photocatalytic Chlorine Activation Process for Carbamazepine Degradation in Drinking Water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (18), 11584–11593.

(21) Pulizzi, F.; Sun, W. Treating Water with Nano. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2018, 13 (8), 633-633.

(22) Mauter, M. S.; Zucker, I.; Perreault, F.; Werber, J. R.; Kim, J. H.; Elimelech, M. The Role of Nanotechnology in Tackling Global Water Challenges. *Nat. Sustain.* **2018**, *1* (4), 166–175.

(23) Wang, J.; Zhuan, R. Degradation of Antibiotics by Advanced Oxidation Processes: An Overview. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *701*, 135023.

(24) Cheng, S. S.; Zhang, X. R.; Song, W. H.; Pan, Y. H.; Lambropoulou, D.; Zhong, Y.; Du, Y.; Nie, J. X.; Yang, X. Photochemical Oxidation of PPCPs Using a Combination of Solar Irradiation and Free Available Chlorine. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *682*, *629–638*.

(25) Lei, Y.; Lu, J.; Zhu, M.; Xie, J.; Peng, S.; Zhu, C. Radical Chemistry of Diethyl Phthalate Oxidation via UV/peroxymonosulfate Process: Roles of Primary and Secondary Radicals. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, 379, 122339.

(26) Grebel, J. E.; Pignatello, J. J.; Mitch, W. A. Effect of Halide Ions and Carbonates on Organic Contaminant Degradation by Hydroxyl Radical-Based Advanced Oxidation Processes in Saline Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (17), 6822–6828.

(27) Jiang, L.; Iwahashi, H. Current Research on High-energy Ionizing Radiation for Wastewater Treatment and Material Synthesis. *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy* **2020**, *39* (1), 13294.

(28) Fang, J.; Fu, Y.; Shang, C. The Roles of Reactive Species in Micropollutant Degradation in the UV/free Chlorine System. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (3), 1859–1868.

(29) Guo, K.; Wu, Z.; Shang, C.; Yao, B.; Hou, S.; Yang, X.; Song, W.; Fang, J. Radical Chemistry and Structural Relationships of PPCP Degradation by UV/Chlorine Treatment in Simulated Drinking Water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (18), 10431–10439.

(30) Cheng, S.; Zhang, X.; Yang, X.; Shang, C.; Song, W.; Fang, J.; Pan, Y. The Multiple Role of Bromide Ion in PPCPs Degradation under UV/Chlorine Treatment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (4), 1806–1816.

(31) Bulman, D. M.; Mezyk, S. P.; Remucal, C. K. The Impact of pH and Irradiation Wavelength on the Production of Reactive Oxidants during Chlorine Photolysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (8), 4450–4459.

pubs.acs.org/est

(32) Zhang, Z.; Chuang, Y.-H.; Huang, N.; Mitch, W. A. Predicting the Contribution of Chloramines to Contaminant Decay during Ultraviolet/Hydrogen Peroxide Advanced Oxidation Process Treatment for Potable Reuse. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (8), 4416– 4425.

(33) Zhang, Z.; Chuang, Y. H.; Szczuka, A.; Ishida, K. P.; Roback, S.; Plumlee, M. H.; Mitch, W. A. Pilot-Scale Evaluation of Oxidant Speciation, 1,4-Dioxane Degradation and Disinfection Byproduct Formation during UV/Hydrogen Peroxide, UV/free Chlorine and UV/Chloramines Advanced Oxidation Process Treatment for Potable Reuse. *Water Res.* **2019**, *164*, 114939.

(34) Patton, S.; Romano, M.; Naddeo, V.; Ishida, K. P.; Liu, H. Photolysis of Mono- and Dichloramines in UV/Hydrogen Peroxide: Effects on 1,4-Dioxane Removal and Relevance in Water Reuse. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (20), 11720–11727.

(35) Patton, S.; Li, W.; Couch, K. D.; Mezyk, S. P.; Ishida, K. P.; Liu, H. Impact of the Ultraviolet Photolysis of Monochloramine on 1,4-Dioxane Removal: New Insights into Potable Water Reuse. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2017**, *4* (1), 26–30.

(36) Sun, P.; Meng, T.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, R.; Yao, H.; Yang, Y.; Zhao, L. Degradation of Organic Micropollutants in UV/NH₂Cl Advanced Oxidation Process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (15), 9024–9033.

(37) Duan, L. J.; Wang, B.; Heck, K.; Guo, S. J.; Clark, C. A.; Arredondo, J.; Wang, M. H.; Senftle, T. P.; Westerhoff, P.; Wen, X. H.; Song, Y. H.; Wong, M. S. Efficient Photocatalytic PFOA Degradation over Boron Nitride. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2020**, 7 (8), 613–619.

(38) Wols, B. A.; Hofman-Caris, C. H. Review of Photochemical Reaction Constants of Organic Micropollutants Required for UV Advanced Oxidation Processes in Water. *Water Res.* **2012**, *46* (9), 2815–2827.

(39) Wojnárovits, L.; Takács, E. Rate Constants of Sulfate Radical Anion Reactions with Organic Molecules: A Review. *Chemosphere* **2019**, 220, 1014–1032.

(40) Wojnárovits, L.; Tóth, T.; Takács, E. Critical Evaluation of Rate Coefficients for Hydroxyl Radical Reactions with Antibiotics: A Review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *48*, 575–613.

(41) Lei, Y.; Cheng, S.; Luo, N.; Yang, X.; An, T. Rate Constants and Mechanisms of the Reactions of Cl[•] and Cl₂^{•–} with Trace Organic Contaminants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, 53 (19), 11170–11182.

(42) Jaffé, R.; Cawley, K. M.; Yamashita, Y. Applications of Excitation Emission Matrix Fluorescence with Parallel Factor Analysis (EEM-PARAFAC) in Assessing Environmental Dynamics of Natural Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM) in Aquatic Environments: A Review. In Advances in the Physicochemical Characterization of Dissolved Organic Matter: Impact on Natural and Engineered Systems; American Chemical Society, 2014; Vol. 1160, pp 27–73.

(43) Connolly, C. T.; Cardenas, M. B.; Burkart, G. A.; Spencer, R. G. M.; McClelland, J. W. Groundwater as a Major Source of Dissolved Organic Matter to Arctic Coastal Waters. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11* (1), 1479.

(44) Westerhoff, P.; Aiken, G.; Amy, G.; Debroux, J. Relationships between the Structure of Natural Organic Matter and Its Reactivity towards Molecular Ozone and Hydroxyl Radicals. *Water Res.* **1999**, 33 (10), 2265–2276.

(45) Gonsior, M.; Zwartjes, M.; Cooper, W. J.; Song, W.; Ishida, K. P.; Tseng, L. Y.; Jeung, M. K.; Rosso, D.; Hertkorn, N.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P. Molecular Characterization of Effluent Organic Matter Identified by Ultrahigh Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Water Res.* **2011**, 45 (9), 2943–2953.

(46) McCarthy, M.; Pratum, T.; Hedges, J.; Benner, R. Chemical Composition of Dissolved Organic Nitrogen in the Ocean. *Nature* **1997**, 390 (6656), 150–154.

(47) Zark, M.; Dittmar, T. Universal Molecular Structures in Natural Dissolved Organic Matter. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9* (1), 3178.

(48) Cory, R. M.; McKnight, D. M. Fluorescence Spectroscopy Reveals Ubiquitous Presence of Oxidized and Reduced Quinones in Dissolved Organic Matter. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2005, 39 (21), 8142-8149.

(49) Wert, E.; Rosario-Ortiza, F. L.; Drury, D. D.; Snyder, S. A. Formation of Oxidation Byproducts from Ozonation of Wastewater. *Water Res.* **2007**, *41* (7), 1481–1490.

(50) McKay, G.; Kleinman, J. L.; Johnston, K. M.; Dong, M. M.; Rosario-Ortiz, F. L.; Mezyk, S. P. Kinetics of the Reaction between the Hydroxyl Radical and Organic Matter Standards from the International Humic Substance Society. *J. Soil. Sediment.* **2014**, *14* (2), 298–304.

(51) Westerhoff, P.; Mezyk, S. P.; Cooper, W. J.; Minakata, D. Electron Pulse Radiolysis Determination of Hydroxyl Radical Rate Constants with Suwannee River Fulvic Acid and Other Dissolved Organic Matter Isolates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (13), 4640–4646.

(52) Chin, Y.-P.; Aiken, G.; O'Loughlin, E. Molecular Weight, Polydispersity, and Spectroscopic Properties of Aquatic Humic Substances. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28*, 1853–1858.

(53) Rosario-Ortiz, F. L.; Mezyk, S. P.; Doud, D. F. R.; Snyder, S. A. Quantitative Correlation of Absolute Hydroxyl Radical Rate Constants with Non-Isolated Effluent Organic Matter Bulk Properties in Water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42* (16), 5924–5930.

(54) Lee, E.; Glover, C. M.; Rosario-Ortiz, F. L. Photochemical Formation of Hydroxyl Radical from Effluent Organic Matter: Role of Composition. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47* (21), 12073–12080.

(55) Keen, O. S.; McKay, G.; Mezyk, S. P.; Linden, K. G.; Rosario-Ortiz, F. L. Identifying the Factors that Influence the Reactivity of Effluent Organic Matter with Hydroxyl Radicals. *Water Res.* **2014**, *50*, 408–419.

(56) Lei, Y.; Lei, X.; Westerhoff, P.; Zhang, X.; Yang, X. Reactivity of Chlorine Radicals (Cl° and $Cl_2^{\circ-}$) with Dissolved Organic Matter and the Formation of Chlorinated Byproducts. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, 55 (1), 689–699.

(57) Schulten, H.-R.; Leinweber, P. Characterization of Humic and Soil Particles by Analytical Pyrolysis and Computer Modeling. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* **1996**, 38 (1), 1–53.

(58) Elovitz, M. S.; von Gunten, U. Hydroxyl Radical/Ozone Ratios During Ozonation Processes. I. The Rct Concept. Ozone: Science & Engineering 1999, 21 (3), 239–260.

(59) Elovitz, M. S.; von Gunten, U.; Kaiser, H.-P. Hydroxyl Radical/ Ozone Ratios During Ozonation Processes. II. The Effect of Temperature, pH, Alkalinity, and DOM Properties. *Ozone: Science* & Engineering **2000**, 22 (2), 123–150.

(60) Rosenfeldt, E. J.; Linden, K. G.; Canonica, S.; von Gunten, U. Comparison of the efficiency of OH radical formation during ozonation and the advanced oxidation processes O_3/H_2O_2 and UV/ H_2O_2 . *Water Res.* **2006**, 40 (20), 3695–3704.

(61) Buffle, M. O.; Schumacher, J.; Meylan, S.; Jekel, M.; von Gunten, U. Ozonation and Advanced Oxidation of Wastewater: Effect of O_3 Dose, pH, DOM and HO Center dot-scavengers on Ozone Decomposition and HO Center dot Generation. *Ozone: Science & Engineering* **2006**, 28 (4), 247–259.

(62) von Gunten, U. Ozonation of Drinking Water: Part II. Disinfection and By-product Formation in Presence of Bromide, Iodide or Chlorine. *Water Res.* **2003**, *37* (7), 1469–1487.

(63) von Gunten, U. Ozonation of Drinking Water: Part I. Oxidation Kinetics and Product Formation. *Water Res.* 2003, 37 (7), 1443–1467.

(64) Buffle, M.-O.; von Gunten, U. Phenols and Amine Induced HO[•] Generation During the Initial Phase of Natural Water Ozonation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40* (9), 3057–3063.

(65) Guo, K.; Wu, Z.; Yan, S.; Yao, B.; Song, W.; Hua, Z.; Zhang, X.; Kong, X.; Li, X.; Fang, J. Comparison of the UV/Chlorine and UV/ H_2O_2 Processes in the Degradation of PPCPs in Simulated Drinking Water and Wastewater: Kinetics, Radical Mechanism and Energy Requirements. *Water Res.* **2018**, *147*, 184–194.

(66) Shah, N. S.; Khan, J. A.; Nawaz, S.; Khan, H. M. Role of Aqueous Electron and Hydroxyl Radical in the Removal of

Endosulfan from Aqueous Solution using Gamma Irradiation. J. Hazard. Mater. 2014, 278, 40-48.

(67) Remke, S. C.; von Gunten, U.; Canonica, S. Enhanced Transformation of Aquatic Organic Compounds by Long-lived Photooxidants (LLPO) Produced from Dissolved Organic Matter. *Water Res.* **2021**, *190*, 116707.

(68) Page, S. E.; Arnold, W. A.; McNeill, K. Assessing the Contribution of Free Hydroxyl Radical in Organic Matter-Sensitized Photohydroxylation Reactions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45* (7), 2818–2825.

(69) Latch, D. E.; McNeill, K. Microheterogeneity of Singlet Oxygen Distributions in Irradiated Humic Acid Solutions. *Science* **2006**, *311* (5768), 1743–1747.

(70) Gulkowska, A.; Krauss, M.; Rentsch, D.; Hollender, J. Reactions of a Sulfonamide Antimicrobial with Model Humic Constituents: Assessing Pathways and Stability of Covalent Bonding. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (4), 2102–2111.

(71) Gulkowska, A.; Sander, M.; Hollender, J.; Krauss, M. Covalent Binding of Sulfamethazine to Natural and Synthetic Humic Acids: Assessing Laccase Catalysis and Covalent Bond Stability. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (13), 6916–6924.

(72) Du, P.; Zhao, H.; Liu, C.; Huang, Q.; Cao, H. Transformation and Products of Captopril with Humic Constituents during laccase-Catalyzed Oxidation: Role of Reactive Intermediates. *Water Res.* **2016**, *106*, 488–495.

(73) Zhou, Y.; Wu, Y.; Lei, Y.; Pan, Y.; Cheng, S.; Ouyang, G.; Yang, X. Redox-Active Moieties in Dissolved Organic Matter Accelerate the Degradation of Nitroimidazoles in $SO_4^{\bullet-}$ -Based Oxidation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (21), 14844–14853.

(74) Latch, D. E.; Packer, J. L.; Arnold, W. A.; McNeill, K. Photochemical Conversion of Triclosan to 2,8-dichlorodibenzo-pdioxin in Aqueous Solution. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A-Chemistry* **2003**, *158* (1), 63–66.

(75) Rosario-Ortiz, F. L.; Canonica, S. Probe Compounds to Assess the Photochemical Activity of Dissolved Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, 50 (23), 12532–12547.

(76) McNeill, K.; Canonica, S. Triplet State Dissolved Organic Matter in Aquatic Photochemistry: Reaction Mechanisms, Substrate Scope, and Photophysical Properties. *Environ. Sci.: Process. Impacts* **2016**, *18* (11), 1381–1399.

(77) Lee, Y.; Gerrity, D.; Lee, M.; Bogeat, A. E.; Salhi, E.; Gamage, S.; Trenholm, R. A.; Wert, E. C.; Snyder, S. A.; von Gunten, U. Prediction of Micropollutant Elimination during Ozonation of Municipal Wastewater Effluents: Use of Kinetic and Water Specific Information. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47* (11), 5872–5881.

(78) Wols, B. A.; Harmsen, D. J. H.; Beerendonk, E. F.; Hofman-Caris, C. H. M. Predicting Pharmaceutical Degradation by $UV(LP)/H_2O_2$ Processes: A Kinetic Model. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, 255, 334–343.

(79) Wols, B. A.; Harmsen, D. J. H.; Wanders-Dijk, J.; Beerendonk, E. F.; Hofman-Caris, C. H. M. Degradation of Pharmaceuticals in $UV(LP)/H_2O_2$ Reactors Simulated by Means of Kinetic Modeling and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD). *Water Res.* **2015**, 75, 11–24.

(80) Bulman, D. M.; Remucal, C. K. Role of Reactive Halogen Species in Disinfection Byproduct Formation during Chlorine Photolysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (15), 9629–9639.

(81) Prasse, C.; Ford, B.; Nomura, D. K.; Sedlak, D. L. Unexpected Transformation of Dissolved Phenols to Toxic Dicarbonyls by Hydroxyl Radicals and UV Light. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2018**, *115* (10), 2311–2316.

(82) Hou, S.; Ling, L.; Dionysiou, D. D.; Wang, Y.; Huang, J.; Guo, K.; Li, X.; Fang, J. Chlorate Formation Mechanism in the Presence of Sulfate Radical, Chloride, Bromide and Natural Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (11), 6317–6325.

(83) Guan, C.; Jiang, J.; Pang, S.; Zhou, Y.; Gao, Y.; Li, J.; Wang, Z. Formation and Control of Bromate in Sulfate Radical-Based Oxidation Processes for the Treatment of Waters Containing Bromide: A Critical Review. *Water Res.* **2020**, *176*, 115725. (84) Gallard, H.; von Gunten, U. Chlorination of Natural Organic Matter: Kinetics of Chlorination and of THM Formation. *Water Res.* **2002**, *36* (1), 65–74.

(85) Gallard, H.; von Gunten, U. Chlorination of Phenols: Kinetics and Formation of Chloroform. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *36* (5), 884–890.

(86) Sedlak, D. L.; von Gunten, U. The Chlorine Dilemma. *Science* **2011**, 331 (6013), 42–43.

(87) Ike, I. A.; Karanfil, T.; Cho, J.; Hur, J. Oxidation Byproducts from the Degradation of Dissolved Organic Matter by Advanced Oxidation Processes - A Critical Review. *Water Res.* 2019, 164, 114929.

(88) Wu, Z.; Chen, C.; Zhu, B.-Z.; Huang, C.-H.; An, T.; Meng, F.; Fang, J. Reactive Nitrogen Species Are Also Involved in the Transformation of Micropollutants by the UV/Monochloramine Process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (19), 11142–11152.

(89) Latifoglu, A.; Gurol, M. D. The Effect of Humic Acids on Nitrobenzene Oxidation by Ozonation and O_3/UV Processes. *Water Res.* **2003**, *37* (8), 1879–1889.

(90) De Vera, G. A.; Stalter, D.; Gernjak, W.; Weinberg, H. S.; Keller, J.; Farré, M. J. Towards Reducing DBP Formation Potential of Drinking Water by Favouring Direct Ozone over Hydroxyl Radical Reactions during Ozonation. *Water Res.* **2015**, *87*, 49–58.

(91) Zhou, Y.; Cheng, F.; He, D.; Zhang, Y. N.; Qu, J.; Yang, X.; Chen, J.; Peijnenburg, W. Effect of UV/chlorine Treatment on Photophysical and Photochemical Properties of Dissolved Organic Matter. *Water Res.* **2021**, *192*, 116857.

(92) Vialykh, E. A.; McKay, G.; Rosario-Ortiz, F. L. Computational Assessment of the Three-Dimensional Configuration of Dissolved Organic Matter Chromophores and Influence on Absorption Spectra. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (24), 15904–15913.

(93) Leresche, F.; McKay, G.; Kurtz, T.; von Gunten, U.; Canonica, S.; Rosario-Ortiz, F. L. Effects of Ozone on the Photochemical and Photophysical Properties of Dissolved Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, 53 (10), 5622–5632.

(94) Zhang, S.; Rouge, V.; Gutierrez, L.; Croue, J. P. Reactivity of Chromophoric Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM) to Sulfate Radicals: Reaction Kinetics and Structural Transformation. *Water Res.* **2019**, *163*, 114846.

(95) Lester, Y.; Sharpless, C. M.; Mamane, H.; Linden, K. G. Production of Photo-oxidants by Dissolved Organic Matter during UV Water Treatment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (20), 11726–11733.

(96) Lee, Y.; Gerrity, D.; Lee, M.; Gamage, S.; Pisarenko, A.; Trenholm, R. A.; Canonica, S.; Snyder, S. A.; von Gunten, U. Organic Contaminant Abatement in Reclaimed Water by UV/H_2O_2 and a Combined Process Consisting of O_3/H_2O_2 Followed by UV/H_2O_2 : Prediction of Abatement Efficiency, Energy Consumption, and Byproduct Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (7), 3809–3819.

(97) Zhou, L.; Ferronato, C.; Chovelon, J. M.; Sleiman, M.; Richard, C. Investigations of Diatrizoate Degradation by Photo-activated Persulfate. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *311*, 28–36.

(98) Ryu, J.; Monİlor-Satoca, D.; Kim, D. H.; Yeo, J.; Choi, W. Photooxidation of Arsenite under 254 nm Irradiation with a Quantum Yield Higher than Unity. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (16), 9381–9387.

(99) Liu, G.; Fernandez, A.; Cai, Y. Complexation of Arsenite with Humic Acid in the Presence of Ferric Iron. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, 45 (8), 3210–3216.

(100) Deng, J.; Shao, Y. S.; Gao, N. Y.; Xia, S. J.; Tan, C. Q.; Zhou, S. Q.; Hu, X. H. Degradation of the Antiepileptic Drug Carbamazepine upon Different UV-based Advanced Oxidation Processes in Water. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2013**, *222*, 150–158.

(101) Guan, Y.-H.; Ma, J.; Li, X.-C.; Fang, J.-Y.; Chen, L.-W. Influence of pH on the Formation of Sulfate and Hydroxyl Radicals in the UV/Peroxymonosulfate System. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, 45 (21), 9308–9314.

pubs.acs.org/est

(102) Ren, Z.; Chen, S.; Jiang, S.-F.; Hu, W.-F.; Jiang, H. High-Efficiency and Ground-State Atomic Oxygen-Dominant Photodegradation of Carbamazepine by Coupling Chlorine and g-C3N4. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2021**, *60* (5), 2112–2122.

(103) Awfa, D.; Ateia, M.; Fujii, M.; Yoshimura, C. Novel Magnetic Carbon Nanotube-TiO₂ Composites for Solar Light Photocatalytic Degradation of Pharmaceuticals in the Presence of Natural Organic Matter. J. Water Process Eng. **2019**, 31, 100836.

(104) Shah, N. S.; Khan, J. A.; Sayed, M.; Khan, Z. U. H.; Rizwan, A. D.; Muhammad, N.; Boczkaj, G.; Murtaza, B.; Imran, M.; Khan, H. M.; Zaman, G. Solar Light Driven Degradation of Norfloxacin using as-Synthesized Bi³⁺ and Fe²⁺ co-doped ZnO with the Addition of HSO_5^- : Toxicities and Degradation Pathways Investigation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, *351*, 841–855.

(105) Sarathy, S. R.; Mohseni, M. The Impact of UV/H_2O_2 Advanced Oxidation on Molecular Size Distribution of Chromophoric Natural Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (24), 8315– 8320.

(106) Truong, H. B.; Huy, B. T.; Ray, S. K.; Lee, Y. I.; Cho, J.; Hur, J. H_2O_2 -assisted Photocatalysis for Removal of Natural Organic Matter using Nanosheet C_3N_4 -WO₃ Composite under Visible Light and the Hybrid System with Ultrafiltration. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, 399, 125733.

(107) Wang, Z.; Wan, Y.; Xie, P.; Zhou, A.; Ding, J.; Wang, J.; Zhang, L.; Wang, S.; Zhang, T. C. Ultraviolet/persulfate (UV/PS) Pretreatment of Typical Natural Organic Matter (NOM): Variation of Characteristics and Control of Membrane Fouling. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *214*, 136–147.

(108) Lin, H.; Ai, J.; Li, R. M.; Deng, L. P.; Tan, W. H.; Ye, Z. H.; Wu, X. G.; Zhang, H. Treatment of Organosilicon Wastewater by UVbased Advanced Oxidation Processes: Performance Comparison and Fluorescence Parallel Factor Analysis. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, 380, 122536.

(109) Wang, Y.; Pan, T.; Yu, Y.; Wu, Y.; Pan, Y.; Yang, X. A Novel Peroxymonosulfate (PMS)-enhanced Iron Coagulation Process for Simultaneous Removal of Trace Organic Pollutants in Water. *Water Res.* **2020**, *185*, 116136.

(110) Wenk, J.; Aeschbacher, M.; Salhi, E.; Canonica, S.; von Gunten, U.; Sander, M. Chemical Oxidation of Dissolved Organic Matter by Chlorine Dioxide, Chlorine, and Ozone: Effects on its Optical and Antioxidant Properties. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (19), 11147–11156.

(111) Westerhoff, P.; Chao, P.; Mash, H. Reactivity of Natural Organic Matter with Aqueous Chlorine and Bromine. *Water Res.* **2004**, 38 (6), 1502–1513.

(112) Heeb, M. B.; Criquet, J.; Zimmermann-Steffens, S. G.; von Gunten, U. Oxidative Treatment of Bromide-Containing Waters: Formation of Bromine and Its Reactions with Inorganic and Organic Compounds — A Critical Review. *Water Res.* **2014**, *48*, 15–42.

(113) Deborde, M.; von Gunten, U. Reactions of Chlorine with Inorganic and Organic Compounds during Water Treatment-Kinetics and Mechanisms: a Critical Review. *Water Res.* **2008**, *42* (1-2), 13–51.

(114) Duirk, S. E.; Gombert, B.; Croue, J. P.; Valentine, R. L. Modeling monochloramine loss in the presence of natural organic matter. *Water Res.* **2005**, *39* (14), 3418–3431.

(115) Zhang, J. W.; Hanigan, D.; Shen, R. G.; Andrews, S.; Herckes, P.; Westerhoff, P. Modeling NDMA Formation Kinetics During Chloramination of Model Compounds and Surface Waters Impacted by Wastewater Discharges. *Acs Sym Ser.* **2015**, *1190*, 79–95.

(116) Buffle, M.-O.; Schumacher, J.; Salhi, E.; Jekel, M.; von Gunten, U. Measurement of the Initial Phase of Ozone Decomposition in Water and Wastewater by Means of a Continuous Quench-flow System: Application to Disinfection and Pharmaceutical Oxidation. *Water Res.* **2006**, *40* (9), 1884–1894.

(117) Hodges, B. C.; Cates, E. L.; Kim, J. H. Challenges and Prospects of Advanced Oxidation Water Treatment Processes using Catalytic Nanomaterials. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *13* (8), 642–650. (118) Chu, C.; Yang, J.; Zhou, X.; Huang, D.; Qi, H.; Weon, S.; Li, J.; Elimelech, M.; Wang, A.; Kim, J.-H. Cobalt Single Atoms on Tetrapyridomacrocyclic Support for Efficient Peroxymonosulfate Activation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (2), 1242–1250.

(119) Loeb, S. K.; Alvarez, P. J. J.; Brame, J. A.; Cates, E. L.; Choi, W.; Crittenden, J.; Dionysiou, D. D.; Li, Q.; Li-Puma, G.; Quan, X.; Sedlak, D. L.; David Waite, T.; Westerhoff, P.; Kim, J.-H. The Technology Horizon for Photocatalytic Water Treatment: Sunrise or Sunset? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (6), 2937–2947.

(120) Lee, H.; Kim, H. I.; Weon, S.; Choi, W.; Hwang, Y. S.; Seo, J.; Lee, C.; Kim, J. H. Activation of Persulfates by Graphitized Nanodiamonds for Removal of Organic Compounds. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (18), 10134–10142.

(121) Cates, E. L. Photocatalytic Water Treatment: So Where Are We Going with This? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (2), 757–758.

(122) Lei, X.; You, M.; Pan, F.; Liu, M.; Yang, P.; Xia, D.; Li, Q.; Wang, Y.; Fu, J. $CuFe_2O_4@GO$ Nanocomposite as an Effective and Recoverable Catalyst of Peroxymonosulfate Activation for Degradation of Aqueous Dye Pollutants. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2019**, 30 (12), 2216–2220.

(123) Wang, N.; Ma, W.; Ren, Z.; Zhang, L.; Qiang, R.; Lin, K.-Y. A.; Xu, P.; Du, Y.; Han, X. Template Synthesis of Nitrogen-doped Carbon Nanocages–encapsulated Carbon Nanobubbles as Catalyst for Activation of Peroxymonosulfate. *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **2018**, *5* (8), 1849–1860.

(124) Do, Q. C.; Kim, D.-G.; Ko, S.-O. Insights into Heterogeneous Fenton-like Systems Catalyzed by Novel Magnetic Yolk-shell Structures for the Removal of Acetaminophen from Aquatic Environments. J. Water Process Eng. 2019, 32, 100980.

(125) Wang, N.; Ma, W.; Ren, Z.; Du, Y.; Xu, P.; Han, X. Prussian Blue Analogues Derived Porous Nitrogen-doped Carbon Microspheres as High-performance Metal-free Peroxymonosulfate Activators for Non-radical-dominated Degradation of Organic Pollutants. J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, 6 (3), 884–895.

(126) Wang, Z.; Du, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zou, B.; Xiao, J.; Ma, J. Degradation of Organic Pollutants by NiFe₂O₄/Peroxymonosulfate: Efficiency, Influential Factors and Catalytic Mechanism. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6* (13), 11040–11048.

(127) Kong, L.; Fang, G.; Chen, Y.; Xie, M.; Zhu, F.; Ma, L.; Zhou, D.; Zhan, J. Efficient Activation of Persulfate Decomposition by Cu₂FeSnS₄ Nanomaterial for Bisphenol A Degradation: Kinetics, Performance and Mechanism Studies. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2019**, 253, 278–285.

(128) Yang, Y.; Li, X.; Zhou, C.; Xiong, W.; Zeng, G.; Huang, D.; Zhang, C.; Wang, W.; Song, B.; Tang, X.; Li, X.; Guo, H. Recent Advances in Application of Graphitic Carbon Nitride-based Catalysts for Degrading Organic Contaminants in Water through Advanced Oxidation Processes beyond Photocatalysis: A Critical Review. *Water Res.* **2020**, *184*, 116200.

(129) Li, H.; Shan, C.; Pan, B. Fe(III)-Doped $g-C_3N_4$ Mediated Peroxymonosulfate Activation for Selective Degradation of Phenolic Compounds via High-Valent Iron-Oxo Species. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, 52 (4), 2197–2205.

(130) Canonica, S.; Kohn, T.; Mac, M.; Real, F. J.; Wirz, J.; von Gunten, U. Photosensitizer Method to Determine Rate Constants for the Reaction of Carbonate Radical with Organic Compounds. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39* (23), 9182–9188.

(131) Yan, S.; Liu, Y.; Lian, L.; Li, R.; Ma, J.; Zhou, H.; Song, W. Photochemical Formation of Carbonate Radical and Its Reaction with Dissolved Organic Matters. *Water Res.* **2019**, *161*, 288–296.

(132) Zhou, L.; Sleiman, M.; Ferronato, C.; Chovelon, J.-M.; Richard, C. Reactivity of Sulfate Radicals with Natural Organic Matters. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *15* (4), 733–737.

(133) Gao, L.; Minakata, D.; Wei, Z.; Spinney, R.; Dionysiou, D. D.; Tang, C.-J.; Chai, L.; Xiao, R. Mechanistic Study on the Role of Soluble Microbial Products in Sulfate Radical-Mediated Degradation of Pharmaceuticals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (1), 342–353. (134) Lei, X.; Lei, Y.; Guan, J.; Westerhoff, P.; Yang, X. Kinetics and Transformations of Diverse Dissolved Organic Matter Fractions with Sulfate Radicals. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56* (7), 4457–4466.

(135) Lei, Y.; Lei, X.; Westerhoff, P.; Tong, X.; Ren, J.; Zhou, Y.; Cheng, S.; Ouyang, G.; Yang, X. Bromine Radical (Br^{\bullet} and $Br_2^{\bullet-}$) Reactivity with Dissolved Organic Matter and Brominated Organic Byproduct Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56* (8), 5189–5199.

(136) Page, S. E.; Arnold, W. A.; McNeill, K. Terephthalate as a Probe for Photochemically Generated Hydroxyl Radical. *J. Environ. Monit.* **2010**, *12* (9), 1658–1665.

(137) Zhang, R.; Yang, Y.; Huang, C.-H.; Zhao, L.; Sun, P. Kinetics and Modeling of Sulfonamide Antibiotic Degradation in Wastewater and Human Urine by UV/H_2O_2 and UV/PDS. *Water Res.* **2016**, *103*, 283–292.

(138) Lei, Y.; Lei, X.; Yu, Y.; Li, K.; Li, Z.; Cheng, S.; Ouyang, G.; Yang, X. Rate Constants and Mechanisms for Reactions of Bromine Radicals with Trace Organic Contaminants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, 55 (15), 10502–10513.

(139) Waggoner, D. C.; Wozniak, A. S.; Cory, R. M.; Hatcher, P. G. The Role of Reactive Oxygen Species in the Degradation of Lignin Derived Dissolved Organic Matter. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2017, 208, 171–184.

(140) Cory, R. M.; Cotner, J. B.; McNeill, K. Quantifying Interactions between Singlet Oxygen and Aquatic Fulvic Acids. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 43 (3), 718–723.

(141) Ma, J.; Zhou, H.; Yan, S.; Song, W. Kinetics Studies and Mechanistic Considerations on the Reactions of Superoxide Radical Ions with Dissolved Organic Matter. *Water Res.* **2019**, *149*, 56–64.

(142) Sheng, Y.; Abreu, I. A.; Cabelli, D. E.; Maroney, M. J.; Miller, A.-F.; Teixeira, M.; Valentine, J. S. Superoxide Dismutases and Superoxide Reductases. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114* (7), 3854–3918.

(143) Bielski, B. H. J.; Cabelli, D. E.; Arudi, R. L.; Ross, A. B. Reactivity of HO_2/O_2^- radicals in aqueous solution. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **1985**, 14 (4), 1041–1051.

(144) Ma, J.; Nie, J.; Zhou, H.; Wang, H.; Lian, L.; Yan, S.; Song, W. Kinetic Consideration of Photochemical Formation and Decay of Superoxide Radical in Dissolved Organic Matter Solutions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (6), 3199–3208.

(145) Dail, M. K.; Mezyk, S. P. Hydroxyl-Radical-Induced Degradative Oxidation of β -Lactam Antibiotics in Water: Absolute Rate Constant Measurements. J. Phys. Chem. A 2010, 114 (32), 8391–8395.

(146) Dong, M. M.; Mezyk, S. P.; Rosario-Ortiz, F. L. Reactivity of Effluent Organic Matter (EfOM) with Hydroxyl Radical as a Function of Molecular Weight. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (15), 5714–5720.

(147) Yang, Z.; Qian, J.; Shan, C.; Li, H.; Yin, Y.; Pan, B. Toward Selective Oxidation of Contaminants in Aqueous Systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (21), 14494–14514.

(148) Buxton, G. V.; Bydder, M.; Salmon, G. A.; Williams, J. E. The Reactivity of Chlorine Atoms in Aqueous Solution Part III. The Reactions of Cl[•] with Solutes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *2*, 237–245.

(149) Alegre, M. L.; Gerones, M.; Rosso, J. A.; Bertolotti, S. G.; Braun, A. M.; Martire, D. O.; Gonzalez, M. C. Kinetic Study of the Reactions of Chlorine Atoms and $Cl_2^{\bullet-}$ Radical Anions in Aqueous Solutions. 1. Reaction with Benzene. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2000**, *104*, 3117–3125.

(150) Wilkinson, F.; Helman, W. P.; Ross, A. B. Rate Constants for the Decay and Reactions of the Lowest Electronically Excited Singlet State of Molecular Oxygen in Solution. An Expanded and Revised Compilation. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **1995**, 24 (2), 663–677.

(151) Yang, Y.; Pignatello, J. J.; Ma, J.; Mitch, W. A. Comparison of Halide Impacts on the Efficiency of Contaminant Degradation by Sulfate and Hydroxyl Radical-Based Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (4), 2344–2351.

(152) Chu, C.; Lundeen, R. A.; Remucal, C. K.; Sander, M.; McNeill, K. Enhanced Indirect Photochemical Transformation of Histidine and Histamine through Association with Chromophoric Dissolved Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (9), 5511–5519.

pubs.acs.org/est

(153) Li, R.; Zhao, C.; Yao, B.; Li, D.; Yan, S.; O'Shea, K. E.; Song, W. Photochemical Transformation of Aminoglycoside Antibiotics in Simulated Natural Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (6), 2921–2930.

(154) Sun, C.; Chang, W.; Ma, W.; Chen, C.; Zhao, J. Photoreductive Debromination of Decabromodiphenyl Ethers in the Presence of Carboxylates under Visible Light Irradiation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (5), 2370–2377.

(155) Liu, K.; Kong, L.; Wang, J.; Cui, H.; Fu, H.; Qu, X. Two-Phase System Model to Assess Hydrophobic Organic Compound Sorption to Dissolved Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (19), 12173–12180.

(156) Tomaszewski, J. E.; Madliger, M.; Pedersen, J. A.; Schwarzenbach, R. P.; Sander, M. Adsorption of Insecticidal Cry1Ab Protein to Humic Substances. 2. Influence of Humic and Fulvic Acid Charge and Polarity Characteristics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, 46 (18), 9932–9940.

(157) Sander, M.; Tomaszewski, J. E.; Madliger, M.; Schwarzenbach, R. P. Adsorption of Insecticidal Cry1Ab Protein to Humic Substances. 1. Experimental Approach and Mechanistic Aspects. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (18), 9923–9931.

(158) McCarthy, J. F.; Jimenez, B. D. Interactions between Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Dissolved Humic Material: Binding and Dissociation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1985**, *19* (11), 1072– 1076.

(159) Landrum, P. F.; Nihart, S. R.; Eadie, B. J.; Gardner, W. S. Reverse-phase Separation Method for Determining Pollutant Binding to Aldrich Humic Acid and Dissolved Organic Carbon of Natural Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1984**, *18* (3), 187–192.

(160) Yuasa, J.; Yamada, S.; Fukuzumi, S. Direct EPR Detection of a Hydrogen-bonded Complex between a Semiquinone Radical Anion and a Protonated Amino Acid, and Electron Transfer Driven by Hydrogen Bonding. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46* (19), 3553–3565.

(161) O'Malley, P. J. Hybrid Density Functional Studies of the Oxidation of Phenol-Imidazole Hydrogen-Bonded Complexes: A Model for Tyrosine Oxidation in Oxygenic Photosynthesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11732–11737.

(162) Dou, J.; Lin, P.; Kuang, B. Y.; Yu, J. Z. Reactive Oxygen Species Production Mediated by Humic-like Substances in Atmospheric Aerosols: Enhancement Effects by Pyridine, Imidazole, and Their Derivatives. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (11), 6457–6465.

(163) Sun, Z. Y.; Zhang, C. J.; Chen, P.; Zhou, Q.; Hoffmann, M. R. Impact of Humic Acid on the Photoreductive Degradation of Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) by UV/Iodide Process. *Water Res.* **2017**, *127*, 50–58.

(164) Cui, J.; Gao, P.; Deng, Y. Destruction of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) with Advanced Reduction Processes (ARPs): A Critical Review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (7), 3752–3766.

(165) Wenk, J.; Canonica, S. Phenolic Antioxidants Inhibit the Triplet-induced Transformation of Anilines and Sulfonamide Antibiotics in Aqueous Solution. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (10), 5455–5462.

(166) Canonica, S.; Schonenberger, U. Inhibitory Effect of Dissolved Organic Matter on the Transformation of Selected Anilines and Sulfonamide Antibiotics Induced by the Sulfate Radical. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, 53 (20), 11783–11791.

(167) Leresche, F.; Ludvíková, L.; Heger, D.; von Gunten, U.; Canonica, S. Quenching of an Aniline Radical Cation by Dissolved Organic Matter and Phenols: A Laser Flash Photolysis Study. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (23), 15057–15065.

(168) Cheng, S.; Lei, Y.; Lei, X.; Pan, Y.; Lee, Y.; Yang, X. Coexposure Degradation of Purine Derivatives in the Sulfate Radical-Mediated Oxidation Process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (2), 1186–1195.

pubs.acs.org/est

(169) Janssen, E. M.; Erickson, P. R.; McNeill, K. Dual Roles of Dissolved Organic Matter as Sensitizer and Quencher in the Photooxidation of Tryptophan. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (9), 4916–4924.

(170) Chen, Y.; Zhang, X.; Feng, S. X. Contribution of the Excited Triplet State of Humic Acid and Superoxide Radical Anion to Generation and Elimination of Phenoxyl Radical. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (15), 8283–8291.

(171) Wenk, J.; Eustis, S. N.; McNeill, K.; Canonica, S. Quenching of Excited Triplet States by Dissolved Natural Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (22), 12802–12810.

(172) Pan, Y.; Ruan, X.; Garg, S.; Waite, T. D.; Lei, Y.; Yang, X. Copper Inhibition of Triplet-Sensitized Phototransformation of Phenolic and Amine Contaminants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (16), 9980–9989.

(173) Cheng, S.; Zhao, Y.; Pan, Y.; Yu, J.; Lei, Y.; Lei, X.; Ouyang, G.; Yang, X. Role of Antioxidant Moieties in the Quenching of a Purine Radical by Dissolved Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56* (1), 546–555.

(174) Neale, P. A.; Antony, A.; Gernjak, W.; Leslie, G.; Escher, B. I. Natural versus Wastewater Derived Dissolved Organic Carbon: Implications for the Environmental Fate of Organic Micropollutants. *Water Res.* **2011**, 45 (14), 4227–4237.

(175) Jonsson, M.; Lind, J.; Eriksen, T. E.; Merenyi, G. Redox and Acidity Properties of 4-Substituted Aniline Radical Cations in Water. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116* (4), 1423–1427.

(176) Warren, J. J.; Tronic, T. A.; Mayer, J. M. Thermochemistry of Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer Reagents and its Implications. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110* (12), 6961–7001.

(177) Apak, R. Current Issues in Antioxidant Measurement. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2019, 67 (33), 9187–9202.

(178) Huang, D.; Ou, B.; Prior, R. L. The Chemistry behind Antioxidant Capacity Assays. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53 (6), 1841–1856.

(179) Martin, M. V.; Mignone, R. A.; Rosso, J. A.; David Gara, P.; Pis Diez, R.; Borsarelli, C. D.; Mártire, D. O. Transient Spectroscopic Characterization and Theoretical Modeling of Fulvic Acid Radicals Formed by UV-A Radiation. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* **2017**, 332, 571–579.

(180) Pryor, W. A. Oxy-radicals and Related Species: their Formation, Lifetimes, and Reactions. *Annual review of physiology* **1986**, 48, 657–667.

(181) Merényi, G.; Lind, J.; Engman, L. One- and two-electron Reduction Potentials of Peroxyl Radicals and Related Species. *Journal* of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2 **1994**, No. 12, 2551– 2553.

(182) Merényi, G.; Lind, J.; Goldstein, S. Thermochemical Properties of α -Hydroxy-Alkoxyl Radicals in Aqueous Solution. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2002**, *106* (46), 11127–11129.

(183) Aeschbacher, M.; Graf, C.; Schwarzenbach, R. P.; Sander, M. Antioxidant Properties of Humic Substances. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (9), 4916–4925.

(184) Walpen, N.; Schroth, M. H.; Sander, M. Quantification of Phenolic Antioxidant Moieties in Dissolved Organic Matter by Flow-Injection Analysis with Electrochemical Detection. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (12), 6423–6432.

(185) Neta, P.; Grodkowski, J. Rate Constants for Reactions of Phenoxyl Radicals in Solution. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 2005, 34 (1), 109–199.

(186) Erben-Russ, M.; Michel, C.; Bors, W.; Saran, M. Absolute Rate Constants of Alkoxyl Radical Reactions in Aqueous Solution. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1987**, *91* (9), 2362–2365.

(187) Alfassi, Z. B.; Marguet, S.; Neta, P. Formation and Reactivity of Phenylperoxyl Radicals in Aqueous Solutions. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, 98 (33), 8019–8023.

(188) Garg, S.; Jiang, C.; Waite, T. D. Mechanistic Insights into Iron Redox Transformations in the Presence of Natural Organic Matter: Impact of pH and Light. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2015**, *165*, 14–34. (189) Garg, S.; Rose, A. L.; Waite, T. D. Photochemical Production of Superoxide and Hydrogen Peroxide from Natural Organic Matter. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2011**, 75 (15), 4310–4320.

(190) Zhang, Y.; Simon, K. A.; Andrew, A. A.; Del Vecchio, R.; Blough, N. V. Enhanced Photoproduction of Hydrogen Peroxide by Humic Substances in the Presence of Phenol Electron Donors. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 48 (21), 12679–12688.

(191) Zhang, Y.; Del Vecchio, R.; Blough, N. V. Investigating the Mechanism of Hydrogen Peroxide Photoproduction by Humic Substances. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (21), 11836–11843.

(192) Gligorovski, S.; Strekowski, R.; Barbati, S.; Vione, D. Environmental Implications of Hydroxyl Radicals ([•]OH). *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115* (24), 13051–13092.

(193) Ikehata, K.; El-Din, M. G. Aqueous Pesticide Degradation by Hydrogen Peroxide/Ultraviolet Irradiation and Fenton-type Advanced Oxidation Processes: a Review. *J. Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2006**, 5 (2), 81–135.

(194) Pei, D.-N.; Liu, C.; Zhang, A.-Y.; Pan, X.-Q.; Yu, H.-Q. In Situ Organic Fenton-like Catalysis Triggered by Anodic Polymeric Intermediates for Electrochemical Water Purification. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2020**, *117* (49), 30966–30972.

(195) Hoigné, J.; Bader, H.; Haag, W. R.; Staehelin, J. Rate Constants of Reactions of Ozone with Organic and Inorganic Compounds in Water—III. Inorganic Compounds and Radicals. *Water Res.* **1985**, *19* (8), 993–1004.

(196) Wu, Q.-Y.; Yang, Z.-W.; Du, Y.; Ouyang, W.-Y.; Wang, W.-L. The Promotions on Radical Formation and Micropollutant Degradation by the Synergies between Ozone and Chemical Reagents (Synergistic Ozonation): A Review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, *418*, 126327.

(197) Asghar, A.; Lutze, H. V.; Tuerk, J.; Schmidt, T. C. Influence of Water Matrix on the Degradation of Organic Micropollutants by Ozone Based Processes: A Review on Oxidant Scavenging Mechanism. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2022**, *429*, 128189.

(198) Gerrity, D.; Lee, Y.; Gamage, S.; Lee, M.; Pisarenko, A. N.; Trenholm, R. A.; von Gunten, U.; Snyder, S. A. Emerging Investigators Series: Prediction of Trace Organic Contaminant Abatement with UV/H_2O_2 : Development and Validation of Semiempirical Models for Municipal Wastewater Effluents. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* **2016**, 2 (3), 460–473.

(199) Pereira, V. J.; Weinberg, H. S.; Linden, K. G.; Singer, P. C. UV Degradation Kinetics and Modeling of Pharmaceutical Compounds in Laboratory Grade and Surface Water via Direct and Indirect Photolysis at 254 nm. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (5), 1682–1688. (200) Roder, M.; Wojnárovits, L.; Földiák, G. Pulse Radiolysis of Aqueous Solutions of Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Presence of Oxygen. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *36* (2), 175–176.

(201) Fang, G. D.; Gao, J.; Dionysiou, D. D.; Liu, C.; Zhou, D. M. Activation of Persulfate by Quinones: Free Radical Reactions and Implication for the Degradation of PCBs. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (9), 4605–4611.

(202) Zhou, Y.; Jiang, J.; Gao, Y.; Ma, J.; Pang, S. Y.; Li, J.; Lu, X. T.; Yuan, L. P. Activation of Peroxymonosulfate by Benzoquinone: A Novel Nonradical Oxidation Process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, 49 (21), 12941–12950.

(203) Ahmad, M.; Teel, A. L.; Watts, R. J. Mechanism of Persulfate Activation by Phenols. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, 47 (11), 5864–5871.

(204) Zhou, Y.; Jiang, J.; Gao, Y.; Pang, S. Y.; Yang, Y.; Ma, J.; Gu, J.; Li, J.; Wang, Z.; Wang, L. H.; Yuan, L. P.; Yang, Y. Activation of Peroxymonosulfate by Phenols: Important Role of Quinone Intermediates and Involvement of Singlet Oxygen. *Water Res.* **2017**, *125*, 209–218.

(205) Bussan, A. L.; Strathmann, T. J. Influence of Organic Ligands on the Reduction of Polyhalogenated Alkanes by Iron(II). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (19), 6740–6747.

(206) Jiang, C.; Garg, S.; Waite, T. D. Hydroquinone-Mediated Redox Cycling of Iron and Concomitant Oxidation of Hydroquinone in Oxic Waters under Acidic Conditions: Comparison with IronNatural Organic Matter Interactions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 49 (24), 14076–14084.

(207) Strathmann, T. J. Redox Reactivity of Organically Complexed Iron(II) Species with Aquatic Contaminants. In *Aquatic Redox Chemistry*; American Chemical Society, 2011; Vol. 1071, pp 283–313. (208) Voelker, B. M.; Sulzberger, B. Effects of Fulvic Acid on Fe(II) Oxidation by Hydrogen Peroxide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, 30 (4), 1106–1114.

(209) Georgi, A.; Schierz, A.; Trommler, U.; Horwitz, C. P.; Collins, T. J.; Kopinke, F. D. Humic acid modified Fenton reagent for enhancement of the working pH range. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2007**, 72 (1-2), 26–36.

(210) Yang, B.; Cheng, X.; Zhang, Y.; Li, W.; Wang, J.; Tian, Z.; Du, E.; Guo, H. Staged Assessment for the Involving Mechanism of Humic Acid on Enhancing Water Decontamination using H_2O_2 -Fe(III) Process. J. Hazard. Mater. **2021**, 407, 124853.

(211) Li, X.; Wu, B.; Zhang, Q.; Xu, D.; Liu, Y.; Ma, F.; Gu, Q.; Li, F. Mechanisms on the Impacts of Humic Acids on Persulfate/Fe²⁺-based Groundwater Remediation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, *378*, 122142.

(212) Fang, G. D.; Wu, W. H.; Deng, Y. M.; Zhou, D. M. Homogenous activation of persulfate by different species of vanadium ions for PCBs degradation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *323*, 84–95.

(213) Wang, Y.; Wu, Y.; Yu, Y.; Pan, T.; Li, D.; Lambropoulou, D.; Yang, X. Natural Polyphenols Enhanced the Cu(II)/peroxymono-sulfate (PMS) Oxidation: The Contribution of Cu(III) and HO[•]. *Water Res.* **2020**, *186*, 116326.

(214) Jia, J.; Liu, D.; Tian, J.; Wang, W.; Ni, J.; Wang, X. Visiblelight-excited Humic Acid for Peroxymonosulfate Activation to Degrade Bisphenol A. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *400*, 125853.

(215) Zhu, B. Z.; Kalyanaraman, B.; Jiang, G. B. Molecular Mechanism for Metal-independent Production of Hydroxyl Radicals by Hydrogen Peroxide and Halogenated Quinones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2007**, *104* (45), 17575–17578.

(216) Zhu, B. Z.; Zhao, H. T.; Kalyanaraman, B.; Frei, B. Metalindependent Production of Hydroxyl Radicals by Halogenated Quinones and Hydrogen Peroxide: An ESR Spin Trapping Study. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* **2002**, *32* (5), 465–473.

(217) Chen, R.; Pignatello, J. J. Role of Quinone Intermediates as Electron Shuttles in Fenton and Photoassisted Fenton Oxidations of Aromatic Compounds. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (8), 2399–2406.

(218) Ye, Q.; Wu, J.; Wu, P.; Wang, J.; Niu, W.; Yang, S.; Chen, M.; Rehman, S.; Zhu, N. Enhancing Peroxymonosulfate Activation of Fe-Al Layered Double Hydroxide by Dissolved Organic Matter: Performance and Mechanism. *Water Res.* **2020**, *185*, 116246.

(219) Chen, W.; Westerhoff, P.; Leenheer, J. A.; Booksh, K. Fluorescence Excitation–Emission Matrix Regional Integration to Quantify Spectra for Dissolved Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2003**, *37* (24), 5701–5710.

(220) Ainsworth, E. A.; Gillespie, K. M. Estimation of Total Phenolic Content and Other Oxidation Substrates in Plant Tissues using Folin-Ciocalteu Reagent. *Nat. Protoc.* **2007**, *2* (4), 875–877.

(221) Sharpless, C. M.; Aeschbacher, M.; Page, S. E.; Wenk, J.; Sander, M.; McNeill, K. Photooxidation-Induced Changes in Optical, Electrochemical, and Photochemical Properties of Humic Substances. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (5), 2688–2696.

(222) Önnby, L.; Walpen, N.; Salhi, E.; Sander, M.; von Gunten, U. Two Analytical Approaches Quantifying the Electron Donating Capacities of Dissolved Organic Matter to Monitor its Oxidation during Chlorination and Ozonation. *Water Res.* **2018**, *144*, 677–689. (223) Varanasi, L.; Coscarelli, E.; Khaksari, M.; Mazzoleni, L. R.; Minakata, D. Transformations of Dissolved Organic Matter Induced by UV Photolysis, Hydroxyl Radicals, Chlorine Radicals, and Sulfate Radicals in Aqueous-phase UV-Based Advanced Oxidation Processes. *Water Res.* **2018**, *135*, 22–30.

(224) Remucal, C. K.; Salhi, E.; Walpen, N.; von Gunten, U. Molecular-Level Transformation of Dissolved Organic Matter during Oxidation by Ozone and Hydroxyl Radical. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, 54 (16), 10351–10360.

(225) Ruan, X.; Xiang, Y.; Shang, C.; Cheng, S.; Liu, J.; Hao, Z.; Yang, X. Molecular Characterization of Transformation and Halogenation of Natural Organic Matter during the UV/chlorine AOP Using FT-ICR Mass Spectrometry. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2021**, *102*, 24–36.

(226) Wang, W. L.; Zhang, X.; Wu, Q. Y.; Du, Y.; Hu, H. Y. Degradation of Natural Organic Matter by UV/Chlorine Oxidation: Molecular Decomposition, Formation of Oxidation Byproducts and Cytotoxicity. *Water Res.* **2017**, *124*, 251–258.

(227) Thomson, J.; Parkinson, A.; Roddick, F. A. Depolymerization of Chromophoric Natural Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, 38 (12), 3360–3369.

(228) Yang, P.; Ji, Y.; Lu, J.; Huang, Q. Formation of Nitrophenolic Byproducts during Heat-Activated Peroxydisulfate Oxidation in the Presence of Natural Organic Matter and Nitrite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, 53 (8), 4255–4264.

(229) Zhang, H.; Zhang, Y.; Shi, Q.; Ren, S.; Yu, J.; Ji, F.; Luo, W.; Yang, M. Characterization of Low Molecular Weight Dissolved Natural Organic Matter along the Treatment Trait of a Waterworks using Fourier Transform ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry. *Water Res.* **2012**, *46* (16), 5197–5204.

(230) Gonsior, M.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Stavklint, H.; Richardson, S. D.; Hertkorn, N.; Bastviken, D. Changes in Dissolved Organic Matter during the Treatment Processes of a Drinking Water Plant in Sweden and Formation of Previously Unknown Disinfection Byproducts. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (21), 12714–12722.

(231) Xiang, Y.; Gonsior, M.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Shang, C. Influence of the UV/H₂O₂ Advanced Oxidation Process on Dissolved Organic Matter and the Connection between Elemental Composition and Disinfection Byproduct Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (23), 14964–14973.

(232) Zhang, B.; Wang, X.; Fang, Z.; Wang, S.; Shan, C.; Wei, S.; Pan, B. Unravelling Molecular Transformation of Dissolved Effluent Organic Matter in UV/H_2O_2 , UV/Persulfate, and UV/Chlorine Processes Based on FT-ICR-MS Analysis. *Water Res.* **2021**, *199*, 117158.

(233) Phungsai, P.; Kurisu, F.; Kasuga, I.; Furumai, H. Molecular Characteristics of Dissolved Organic Matter Transformed by O_3 and O_3/H_2O_2 Treatments and the Effects on Formation of Unknown Disinfection By-products. *Water Res.* **2019**, *159*, 214–222.

(234) Zhang, S.; Hao, Z.; Liu, J.; Gutierrez, L.; Croué, J.-P. Molecular Insights into the Reactivity of Aquatic Natural Organic Matter towards Hydroxyl ($^{\circ}$ OH) and Sulfate (SO₄ $^{\circ-}$) Radicals using FT-ICR MS. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2021**, 425, 130622.

(235) Wang, W.-L.; Wu, Q.-Y.; Huang, N.; Xu, Z.-B.; Lee, M.-Y.; Hu, H.-Y. Potential Risks from UV/H_2O_2 Oxidation and UV Photocatalysis: A Review of Toxic, Assimilable, and Sensoryunpleasant Transformation Products. *Water Res.* **2018**, *141*, 109–125.

(236) Bazri, M. M.; Barbeau, B.; Mohseni, M. Impact of UV/H_2O_2 advanced oxidation treatment on molecular weight distribution of NOM and biostability of water. *Water Res.* **2012**, *46* (16), 5297– 5304.

(237) Wen, G.; Zhu, H.; Wei, Y.; Huang, T.; Ma, J. Formation of Assimilable Organic Carbon during the Oxidation of Water Containing Microcystis Aeruginosa by Ozone and an Advanced Oxidation Process using Ozone/Hydrogen Peroxide. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, 307, 364–371.

(238) Pick, F. C.; Fish, K. E.; Boxall, J. B. Assimilable Organic Carbon Cycling within Drinking Water Distribution Systems. *Water Res.* **2021**, *198*, 117147.

(239) Chen, Z.; Yu, T.; Ngo, H. H.; Lu, Y.; Li, G.; Wu, Q.; Li, K.; Bai, Y.; Liu, S.; Hu, H.-Y. Assimilable Organic Carbon (AOC) Variation in Reclaimed Water: Insight on Biological Stability Evaluation and Control for Sustainable Water Reuse. *Bioresource Technol.* **2018**, 254, 290–299.

(240) Woods, G. C.; Simpson, M. J.; Koerner, P. J.; Napoli, A.; Simpson, A. J. HILIC-NMR: Toward the Identification of Individual Molecular Components in Dissolved Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, 45 (9), 3880–3886. (241) Jennings, E.; Kremser, A.; Han, L.; Reemtsma, T.; Lechtenfeld, O. J. Discovery of Polar Ozonation Byproducts via Direct Injection of Effluent Organic Matter with Online LC-FT-ICR-MS. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56* (3), 1894–1904.

(242) Li, X. F.; Mitch, W. A. Drinking Water Disinfection Byproducts (DBPs) and Human Health Effects: Multidisciplinary Challenges and Opportunities. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (4), 1681–1689.

(243) Richardson, S. D.; Plewa, M. J.; Wagner, E. D.; Schoeny, R.; DeMarini, D. M. Occurrence, Genotoxicity, and Carcinogenicity of Regulated and Emerging Disinfection By-products in Drinking Water: A Review and Roadmap for Research. *Mutat. Res.-Rev. Mutat. Res.* **2007**, 636 (1-3), 178–242.

(244) Cuthbertson, A. A.; Kimura, S. Y.; Liberatore, H. K.; Summers, R. S.; Knappe, D. R. U.; Stanford, B. D.; Maness, J. C.; Mulhern, R. E.; Selbes, M.; Richardson, S. D. Does Granular Activated Carbon with Chlorination Produce Safer Drinking Water? From Disinfection Byproducts and Total Organic Halogen to Calculated Toxicity. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (10), 5987–5999.

(245) Fang, J.-Y.; Shang, C. Bromate Formation from Bromide Oxidation by the UV/Persulfate Process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, 46 (16), 8976–8983.

(246) Li, Z.; Chen, Z.; Xiang, Y.; Ling, L.; Fang, J.; Shang, C.; Dionysiou, D. D. Bromate Formation in Bromide-Containing Water through the Cobalt-Mediated Activation of Peroxymonosulfate. *Water Res.* **2015**, *83*, 132–140.

(247) Lu, J.; Wu, J.; Ji, Y.; Kong, D. Transformation of Bromide in Thermo Activated Persulfate Oxidation Processes. *Water Res.* 2015, 78, 1–8.

(248) Wang, Y.; Le Roux, J.; Zhang, T.; Croué, J.-P. Formation of Brominated Disinfection Byproducts from Natural Organic Matter Isolates and Model Compounds in a Sulfate Radical-Based Oxidation Process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (24), 14534–14542.

(249) Mendez-Diaz, J. D.; Shimabuku, K. K.; Ma, J.; Enumah, Z. O.; Pignatello, J. J.; Mitch, W. A.; Dodd, M. C. Sunlight-Driven Photochemical Halogenation of Dissolved Organic Matter in Seawater: a Natural Abiotic Source of Organobromine and Organoiodine. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 48 (13), 7418–7427.

(250) Wang, C.; Moore, N.; Bircher, K.; Andrews, S.; Hofmann, R. Full-scale Comparison of UV/H_2O_2 and UV/Cl_2 Advanced Oxidation: The Degradation of Micropollutant Surrogates and the Formation of Disinfection Byproducts. *Water Res.* **2019**, *161*, 448–458.

(251) Baycan, N.; Thomanetz, E.; Sengul, F. Influence of Chloride Concentration on the Formation of AOX in UV Oxidative System. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2007**, *143*, 171–176.

(252) von Gunten, U.; Oliveras, Y. Advanced Oxidation of Bromide-Containing Waters: Bromate Formation Mechanisms. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, 32 (1), 63–70.

(253) von Gunten, U.; Oliveras, Y. Kinetics of the Reaction between Hydrogen Peroxide and Hypobromous Acid: Implication on Water Treatment and Natural Systems. *Water Res.* **1997**, *31* (4), 900–906. (254) Lente, G.; Kalmár, J.; Baranyai, Z.; Kun, A.; Kék, I.; Bajusz, D.; Takács, M.; Veres, L.; Fábián, I. One- Versus Two-Electron Oxidation with Peroxomonosulfate Ion: Reactions with Iron(II), Vanadium(IV), Halide Ions, and Photoreaction with Cerium(III). *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48* (4), 1763–1773.

(255) Guo, K.; Wu, Z.; Chen, C.; Fang, J. UV/Chlorine Process: An Efficient Advanced Oxidation Process with Multiple Radicals and Functions in Water Treatment. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2022**, *55* (3), 286–297.

(256) Levanov, A. V.; Isaikina, O. Y.; Gasanova, R. B.; Uzhel, A. S.; Lunin, V. V. Kinetics of Chlorate Formation during Ozonation of Aqueous Chloride Solutions. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *229*, 68–76.

(257) Ding, H.; Hu, J. Bromate and Brominated Oxidation Byproducts Formation in the UVA/TiO₂/peroxydisulfate System: Mechanism, Kinetic Model and Control Methods. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, 777, 146179. (258) Xie, L.; Shang, C. Role of Humic Acid and Quinone Model Compounds in Bromate Reduction by Zerovalent Iron. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, 39 (4), 1092–1100.

(259) Westerhoff, P.; Song, R.; Amy, G.; Minear, R. Nom's Role in Bromine and Bromate Formation during Ozonation. *J. Am. Water Works Ass.* **1998**, *90* (2), 82–94.

(260) Liu, Y.; Yang, Y.; Pang, S.; Zhang, L.; Ma, J.; Luo, C.; Guan, C.; Jiang, J. Mechanistic Insight into Suppression of Bromate Formation by Dissolved Organic Matters in Sulfate Radical-based Advanced Oxidation Processes. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, 333, 200–205.

(261) Lutze, H. V.; Kerlin, N.; Schmidt, T. C. Sulfate Radical-based Water Treatment in Presence of Chloride: Formation of Chlorate, Inter-conversion of Sulfate Radicals into Hydroxyl Radicals and Influence of Bicarbonate. *Water Res.* **2015**, *72*, 349–360.

(262) Plewa, M. J.; Wagner, E. D.; Metz, D. H.; Kashinkunti, R.; Jamriska, K. J.; Meyer, M. Differential Toxicity of Drinking Water Disinfected with Combinations of Ultraviolet Radiation and Chlorine. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (14), 7811–7817.

(263) Zhao, Q.; Shang, C.; Zhang, X.; Ding, G.; Yang, X. Formation of Halogenated Organic Byproducts during Medium-pressure UV and Chlorine Coexposure of Model Compounds, NOM and Bromide. *Water Res.* **2011**, 45 (19), 6545–6554.

(264) Yang, X.; Sun, J.; Fu, W.; Shang, C.; Li, Y.; Chen, Y.; Gan, W.; Fang, J. PPCP Degradation by UV/Chlorine Treatment and its Impact on DBP Formation Potential in Real Waters. *Water Res.* **2016**, *98*, 309–318.

(265) Guzzella, L.; Feretti, D.; Monarca, S. Advanced Oxidation and Adsorption Technologies for Organic Micropollutant Removal from Lake Water used as Drinking-water Supply. *Water Res.* **2002**, *36* (17), 4307–4318.

(266) Heringa, M. B.; Harmsen, D. J. H.; Beerendonk, E. F.; Reus, A. A.; Krul, C. A. M.; Metz, D. H.; Ijpelaar, G. F. Formation and Removal of Genotoxic Activity during UV/ H_2O_2 -GAC Treatment of Drinking Water. *Water Res.* **2011**, *45* (1), 366–374.

(267) Hofman-Caris, R. C. H. M.; Harmsen, D. J. H.; Puijker, L.; Baken, K. A.; Wols, B. A.; Beerendonk, E. F.; Keltjens, L. L. M. Influence of process conditions and water quality on the formation of mutagenic byproducts in UV/H_2O_2 processes. *Water Res.* **2015**, 74, 191–202.

(268) Antonio da Silva, D.; Pereira Cavalcante, R.; Batista Barbosa, E.; Machulek Junior, A.; César de Oliveira, S.; Falcao Dantas, R. Combined AOP/GAC/AOP systems for secondary effluent polishing: Optimization, toxicity and disinfection. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2021**, *263*, 118415.

(269) Escher, B. I.; Allinson, M.; Altenburger, R.; Bain, P. A.; Balaguer, P.; Busch, W.; Crago, J.; Denslow, N. D.; Dopp, E.; Hilscherova, K.; Humpage, A. R.; Kumar, A.; Grimaldi, M.; Jayasinghe, B. S.; Jarosova, B.; Jia, A.; Makarov, S.; Maruya, K. A.; Medvedev, A.; Mehinto, A. C.; Mendez, J. E.; Poulsen, A.; Prochazka, E.; Richard, J.; Schifferli, A.; Schlenk, D.; Scholz, S.; Shiraishi, F.; Snyder, S.; Su, G.; Tang, J. Y. M.; Burg, B. v. d.; Linden, S. C. v. d.; Werner, I.; Westerheide, S. D.; Wong, C. K. C.; Yang, M.; Yeung, B. H. Y.; Zhang, X.; Leusch, F. D. L. Benchmarking Organic Micropollutants in Wastewater, Recycled Water and Drinking Water with In Vitro Bioassays. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (3), 1940– 1956.

(270) Dong, S.; Masalha, N.; Plewa, M. J.; Nguyen, T. H. Toxicity of Wastewater with Elevated Bromide and Iodide after Chlorination, Chloramination, or Ozonation Disinfection. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (16), 9297–9304.

(271) Lau, S. S.; Wei, X.; Bokenkamp, K.; Wagner, E. D.; Plewa, M. J.; Mitch, W. A. Assessing Additivity of Cytotoxicity Associated with Disinfection Byproducts in Potable Reuse and Conventional Drinking Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (9), 5729–5736.

(272) An, D.; Westerhoff, P.; Zheng, M.; Wu, M.; Yang, Y.; Chiu, C.-A. UV-activated Persulfate Oxidation and Regeneration of NOM-Saturated Granular Activated Carbon. *Water Res.* **2015**, *73*, 304–310. (273) Cuthbertson, A. A.; Kimura, S. Y.; Liberatore, H. K.; Knappe, D. R. U.; Stanford, B.; Summers, R. S.; Dickenson, E. R.; Maness, J.

C.; Glover, C.; Selbes, M.; Richardson, S. D. GAC to BAC: Does it make chloraminated drinking water safer? *Water Res.* **2020**, 172, 115432.

(274) Minakata, D.; Li, K.; Westerhoff, P.; Crittenden, J. Development of a Group Contribution Method To Predict Aqueous Phase Hydroxyl Radical (HO[•]) Reaction Rate Constants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43* (16), 6220–6227.

(275) Minakata, D.; Kamath, D.; Maetzold, S. Mechanistic Insight into the Reactivity of Chlorine-Derived Radicals in the Aqueous-Phase UV–Chlorine Advanced Oxidation Process: Quantum Mechanical Calculations. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (12), 6918–6926.

(276) Kamath, D.; Minakata, D. Emerging Investigators Series: Ultraviolet and Free Chlorine Aqueous-phase Advanced Oxidation Process: Kinetic Simulations and Experimental Validation. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* **2018**, *4* (9), 1231–1238.

(277) Zhong, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, H. Machine Learning-Assisted QSAR Models on Contaminant Reactivity Toward Four Oxidants: Combining Small Data Sets and Knowledge Transfer. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56* (1), 681–692.

(278) Zhong, S.; Zhang, K.; Bagheri, M.; Burken, J. G.; Gu, A.; Li, B.; Ma, X.; Marrone, B. L.; Ren, Z. J.; Schrier, J.; Shi, W.; Tan, H.; Wang, T.; Wang, X.; Wong, B. M.; Xiao, X.; Yu, X.; Zhu, J.-J.; Zhang, H. Machine Learning: New Ideas and Tools in Environmental Science and Engineering. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (19), 12741–12754. (279) Wünsch, R.; Mayer, C.; Plattner, J.; Eugster, F.; Wülser, R.; Gebhardt, J.; Hübner, U.; Canonica, S.; Wintgens, T.; von Gunten, U. Micropollutants as Internal Probe Compounds to Assess UV Fluence and Hydroxyl Radical Exposure in UV/H₂O₂ Treatment. *Water Res.* **2021**, *195*, 116940.

(280) Kim, J.; Korshin, G. V. Examination of in situ Generation of Hydroxyl Radicals and Ozone in a Flow-through Electrochemical Reactor. *Ozone: Science & Engineering* **2008**, *30* (2), 113–119.

(281) Mahbub, P.; Smallridge, A.; İrtassam, A.; Yeager, T. Scalable Production of Hydroxyl Radicals (*OH) via Homogeneous Photolysis of Hydrogen Peroxide using a Continuous-flow Photoreactor. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2022**, 427, 131762.

(282) Wang, C.; Rosenfeldt, E.; Li, Y.; Hofmann, R. External Standard Calibration Method To Measure the Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Capacity of Water Samples. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (3), 1929–1937.

(283) Katsoyiannis, I. A.; Canonica, S.; von Gunten, U. Efficiency and energy requirements for the transformation of organic micropollutants by ozone, O_3/H_2O_2 and UV/H_2O_2 . *Water Res.* **2011**, 45 (13), 3811–3822.

(284) Kwon, M.; Kim, S.; Jung, Y.; Hwang, T.-M.; Stefan, M. I.; Kang, J.-W. The Impact of Natural Variation of OH Radical Demand of Drinking Water Sources on the Optimum Operation of the UV/ H_2O_2 Process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (6), 3177–3186.

(285) Curl, J. M.; Nading, T.; Hegger, K.; Barhoumi, A.; Smoczynski, M. Digital Twins: The Next Generation of Water Treatment Technology. J. AWWA **2019**, 111, 44–50.

(286) Cha, D.; Park, S.; Kim, M. S.; Kim, T.; Hong, S. W.; Cho, K. H.; Lee, C. Prediction of Oxidant Exposures and Micropollutant Abatement during Ozonation Using a Machine Learning Method. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (1), 709–718.

(287) Kamath, D.; Mezyk, S. P.; Minakata, D. Elucidating the Elementary Reaction Pathways and Kinetics of Hydroxyl Radical-Induced Acetone Degradation in Aqueous Phase Advanced Oxidation Processes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (14), 7763–7774.

(288) Minakata, D.; Mezyk, S. P.; Jones, J. W.; Daws, B. R.; Crittenden, J. C. Development of Linear Free Energy Relationships for Aqueous Phase Radical-Involved Chemical Reactions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 48 (23), 13925–13932.

(289) Paul, A.; Stösser, R.; Zehl, A.; Zwirnmann, E.; Vogt, R. D.; Steinberg, C. E. W. Nature and Abundance of Organic Radicals in Natural Organic Matter: Effect of pH and Irradiation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 40 (19), 5897–5903. (290) Chen, Y.; Miller, C. J.; Waite, T. D. Heterogeneous Fenton Chemistry Revisited: Mechanistic Insights from Ferrihydrite-Mediated Oxidation of Formate and Oxalate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, 55 (21), 14414–14425.

(291) Zhang, X.; Zhang, L.; Li, Z.; Jiang, Z.; Zheng, Q.; Lin, B.; Pan, B. Rational Design of Antifouling Polymeric Nanocomposite for Sustainable Fluoride Removal from NOM-Rich Water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (22), 13363–13371.

(292) Zhang, M.; Xiao, C.; Yan, X.; Chen, S.; Wang, C.; Luo, R.; Qi, J.; Sun, X.; Wang, L.; Li, J. Efficient Removal of Organic Pollutants by Metal–organic Framework Derived Co/C Yolk–Shell Nanoreactors: Size-Exclusion and Confinement Effect. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (16), 10289–10300.

(293) Wu, Q.; Chen, G.-E.; Sun, W.-G.; Xu, Z.-L.; Kong, Y.-F.; Zheng, X.-P.; Xu, S.-J. Bio-inspired GO-Ag/PVDF/F127 Membrane with Improved Anti-fouling for Natural Organic Matter (NOM) Resistance. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *313*, 450–460.

(294) Abdikheibari, S.; Lei, W.; Dumée, L. F.; Barlow, A. J.; Baskaran, K. Novel Thin Film Nanocomposite Membranes Decorated with Few-layered Boron Nitride Nanosheets for Simultaneously Enhanced Water Flux and Organic Fouling Resistance. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, 488, 565–577.

(295) Wu, J.; Xu, F.; Li, S.; Ma, P.; Zhang, X.; Liu, Q.; Fu, R.; Wu, D. Porous Polymers as Multifunctional Material Platforms toward Task-Specific Applications. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31* (4), 1802922.

(296) Yuan, Q.; Zhang, D.; Yu, P.; Sun, R.; Javed, H.; Wu, G.; Alvarez, P. J. J. Selective Adsorption and Photocatalytic Degradation of Extracellular Antibiotic Resistance Genes by Molecularly-Imprinted Graphitic Carbon Nitride. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (7), 4621– 4630.

(297) Macalady, D. L.; Walton-Day, K. Redox Chemistry and Natural Organic Matter (NOM): Geochemists' Dream, Analytical Chemists' Nightmare. In *Aquatic Redox Chemistry*; American Chemical Society, 2011; Vol. 1071, pp 85–111.

(298) Simpson, A. J.; Kingery, W. L.; Hatcher, P. G. The Identification of Plant Derived Structures in Humic Materials Using Three-Dimensional NMR Spectroscopy. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2003**, 37 (2), 337–342.

(299) Peuravuori, J. NMR Spectroscopy Study of Freshwater Humic Material in Light of Supramolecular Assembly. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, 39 (15), 5541–5549.

(300) Abdulla, H. A. N.; Minor, E. C.; Hatcher, P. G. Using Two-Dimensional Correlations of 13C NMR and FTIR To Investigate Changes in the Chemical Composition of Dissolved Organic Matter along an Estuarine Transect. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (21), 8044–8049.

(301) Brack, W.; Ait-Aissa, S.; Burgess, R. M.; Busch, W.; Creusot, N.; Di Paolo, C.; Escher, B. I.; Mark Hewitt, L.; Hilscherova, K.; Hollender, J.; Hollert, H.; Jonker, W.; Kool, J.; Lamoree, M.; Muschket, M.; Neumann, S.; Rostkowski, P.; Ruttkies, C.; Schollee, J.; Schymanski, E. L.; Schulze, T.; Seiler, T.-B.; Tindall, A. J.; De Aragão Umbuzeiro, G.; Vrana, B.; Krauss, M. Effect-directed Analysis Supporting Monitoring of Aquatic Environments — An In-depth Overview. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *544*, 1073–1118.

(302) Prasse, C.; von Gunten, U.; Sedlak, D. L. Chlorination of Phenols Revisited: Unexpected Formation of alpha,beta-Unsaturated C4-Dicarbonyl Ring Cleavage Products. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (2), 826–834.